

# Multiplicity of solutions for a mean field equation on compact surfaces

Francesca De Marchis

January 11, 2011

ABSTRACT

We consider a scalar field equation on compact surfaces which has variational structure. When the surface is a torus and a physical parameter  $\rho$  belongs to  $(8\pi, 4\pi^2)$  we show under some extra assumptions that, as conjectured in [9], the functional admits at least three saddle points other than a local minimum.

*Key Words:* Scalar field equations, Geometric PDE's, Multiplicity result.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $(\Sigma, g)$  be a compact Riemann surface (without boundary),  $h \in C^2(\Sigma)$  be a positive function and  $\rho$  a positive real parameter. We consider the equation

$$-\Delta_g u + \rho = \rho \frac{h(x)e^u}{\int_{\Sigma} h(x)e^u dV_g} \quad x \in \Sigma, \quad u \in H_g^1(\Sigma), \quad (*)$$

where  $\Delta_g$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $\Sigma$ .

When  $(\Sigma, g)$  is a flat torus equation (\*) is related to the study of some Chern-Simons-Higgs models; indeed via its solutions it is possible to describe the asymptotic behavior of a class of condensates (or multivortex) solutions which are relevant in theoretical physics and which were absent in the classical (Maxwell-Higgs) vortex theory (see [24], [27], [28] and references therein). This PDE arises also in conformal geometry; when  $(\Sigma, g)$  is the standard sphere and  $\rho = 8\pi$ , the geometric meaning of this problem is that from a solution  $u$  we can obtain a new conformal metric  $e^u g$  which has curvature  $\frac{\rho}{2}h$ ; the latter is known as the Kazdan-Warner problem, or as the Nirenberg problem and has been studied for example in [3], [4] and [17]. Moreover this problem arises in statistical mechanics. Indeed, when formulated on bounded domains of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions, equation (\*) was considered in [1] and [16] as the mean field limit as point vortices for the two-dimensional Euler equation.

Problem (\*) has a variational structure and solutions can be found as critical points of the functional

$$I_{\rho}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dV_g + \rho \int_{\Sigma} u dV_g - \rho \log \int_{\Sigma} h(x)e^u dV_g \quad u \in H_g^1(\Sigma). \quad (1.1)$$

Since equation (\*) is invariant when adding constants to  $u$ , we can restrict ourselves to the subspace of the functions with zero average  $\bar{H}_g^1(\Sigma) := \{u \in H_g^1(\Sigma) : \int_{\Sigma} u dV_g = 0\}$ .

By virtue of the Moser-Trudinger inequality (see Lemma 2.2) one can easily prove the compactness and the coercivity of  $I_{\rho}$  when  $\rho < 8\pi$  and thus one can find solutions of (\*) by minimization.

If  $\rho = 8\pi$  the situation is more delicate since  $I_\rho$  still has a lower bound but it is not coercive anymore; in general when  $\rho$  is an integer multiple of  $8\pi$ , the existence problem of (\*) is much harder (a far from complete list of references on the subject includes works by Chang and Yang [4], Chang, Gursky and Yang [3], Chen and Li [5], Nolasco and Tarantello [24], Ding, Jost, Li and Wang [12] and Lucia [21]).

For  $\rho > 8\pi$ , as the functional  $I_\rho$  is unbounded from below and from above, solutions have to be found as saddle points.

In [11] Ding, Jost, Li and Wang proved that, assuming  $\rho \in (8\pi, 16\pi)$  and assuming that the genus of the surface is greater or equal than 1, there exists a solution to (\*). In [19] Yan Yan Li initiated a program to find solutions for  $\rho > 8\pi$  by using the topological degree theory. He proved an uniform bound for solutions to equation (\*) whenever  $\rho$  is contained in a compact set of  $(8k\pi, 8(k+1)\pi)$ , where  $k \geq 0$  is an integer. Therefore, the Leray–Schauder degree for (\*) remains the same when  $\rho$  is in the interval  $(8k\pi, 8(k+1)\pi)$ . Few years ago this program was completed by Chen and Lin in [7] using a finite-dimensional reduction to compute the jump values. The authors obtained a complete degree-counting formula, extending the results in [20], where the case  $\Sigma = S^2$  and  $k = 1$  was studied. Finally, when  $\rho \notin 8\mathbb{N}\pi$ , Djadli [13] generalized these previous results establishing the existence of a solution for any  $(\Sigma, g)$ ; to do that he deeply investigated the topology of low sublevels of  $I_\rho$  in order to perform a min-max scheme (already introduced in Djadli and Malchiodi [14]).

Not much is known about multiplicity. Recently the author in [10], via Morse inequalities, improved significantly the multiplicity estimate which can be deduced from the degree-counting formula in [7].

Besides, the case of the flat torus, which is a relevant situation from the physical point of view, has been treated by Struwe and Tarantello under the assumptions that  $h \equiv 1$  and  $\rho \in (8\pi, 4\pi^2)$ . In these hypotheses,  $u = 0$  is clearly a critical point for  $I_\rho$ . Moreover,  $u = 0$  is a strict local minimum, since the second variation in the direction  $v \in \tilde{H}_g^1(T)$  can be estimated as follows

$$D^2I_\rho(0)[v, v] = \|v\|^2 - \rho \int_\Sigma v^2 dx \geq \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{4\pi^2}\right) \|v\|^2. \quad (1.2)$$

Under these conditions, the functional possesses a mountain pass geometry and by thanks to this structure the existence of a saddle point of  $I_\rho$  has been detected by Struwe and Tarantello.

**Theorem 1.1.** ([26]) *Let  $\Sigma$  be the flat torus and  $h \equiv 1$ . Then, for any  $\rho \in (8\pi, 4\pi^2)$ , there exists a non-trivial solution  $u_\rho$  of (\*) satisfying  $I_\rho(u_\rho) \geq (1 - \rho/4\pi^2)c_0$  for some constant  $c_0 > 0$  independent of  $\rho$ .*

As  $g$  is the flat metric and  $h$  is constant, if  $u$  is a solution of (\*), the functions  $u_{x_0}(x) := u(x - x_0)$  still solve (\*), for any  $x_0 \in T$ ; so from Theorem 1.1 we can deduce the existence of an infinite number of solutions of (\*).

Perturbing  $g$  and  $h$  there is still a local minimum,  $\bar{u}$ , close to  $u = 0$  and the same procedure of [26] ensures the presence of a saddle point, but on the other hand, if  $u$  is a non-trivial solution, the criticality of the translated functions  $u_{x_0}$  is not anymore guaranteed. In [9] the author improved this result stating that apart from  $\bar{u}$  there are at least two critical points, see Theorem 3.1 in Section 3.

The strategy of the proof consists in defining a deformed functional  $\tilde{I}_\rho$ , having the same saddle points of  $I_\rho$  but a greater topological complexity of its low sublevels, and in estimating from below the number of saddle points of  $\tilde{I}_\rho$  using the notion of Lusternik–Schnirelmann relative category (roughly speaking a natural number measuring how a set is far from being contractible, when a subset is fixed).

Always in [9] the author conjectured that apart from the minimum and the two saddle points another critical point should exist. In fact this turns out to be true.

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $\rho \in (8\pi, 4\pi^2)$  and  $\Sigma = T$  is the torus, if the metric  $g$  is sufficiently close in  $C^2(T; S^{2 \times 2})$  to  $dx^2$  and  $h$  is uniformly close to the constant 1,  $I_\rho$  admits a point of strict local minimum and at least three different saddle points.*

In the above statement  $S^{2 \times 2}$  stands for the symmetric matrices on  $T$ . To prove Theorem 3.1 we exploit the following inequality derived in [9]:

$$\#\{\text{solutions of } (*)\} \geq \text{Cat}_{X, \partial X} X,$$

where  $X$  is the topological cone over  $T$ . Next, applying a classical result we are able to estimate from below the previous relative category by one plus the cup-length of the pair  $(T \times [0, 1], T \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}))$ . The cup-length of a topological pair  $(Y, Z)$ , denoted by  $\text{CL}(Y, Z)$ , is the maximum number of elements of the cohomology ring  $H^*(Y)$  having positive dimensions and whose cup product do not “annihilate” the ring  $H^*(Y, Z)$ ; we refer to the next section for a rigorous definition. Finally, to obtain the thesis, we show that  $\text{CL}(T \times [0, 1], T \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\})) \geq \text{CL}(T) = 2$ .

**Remark 1.3.** *Since all the arguments only use the presence of a strict local minimum and the fact that  $X$  is the topological cone over  $T$ , whenever on some  $(\Sigma, g)$  the functional  $I_\rho$  possesses a strict local minimum, the theorem holds true, more precisely  $I_\rho$  has at least  $\text{CL}(\Sigma) + 1$  critical points other than the minimum.*

In section 2 we collect some useful material concerning the topological structure of  $I_\rho$  and we recall some definitions and some classical results in algebraic topology; besides, we focus on the notion of Lusternik-Schnirelmann relative category and its relation with the cuplength. In section 3 we present briefly the result in [9] and prove our multiplicity result.

### Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Professor Andrea Malchiodi for helpful discussions and for having proposed her this topic. She is supported by Project FIRB-IDEAS “Analysis and Beyond”.

## 2 Notation and preliminaries

In this section we collect some facts needed in order to obtain the multiplicity result.

First of all we consider some improvements of the Moser-Trudinger inequality which are useful to study the topological structure of the sublevels of  $I_\rho$ . Next, we collect some basic notions in algebraic topology and we recall the definition of Lusternik-Schnirelmann relative category stating also some results relating the category to both the cup-length and the existence of critical points.

Let now fix our notation. The symbol  $B_r(p)$  denotes the metric ball of radius  $r$  and center  $p$ . As already specified we set  $\bar{H}_g^1(\Sigma) := \{u \in H_g^1(\Sigma) : \int_\Sigma u \, dv_g = 0\}$ . Large positive constants are always denoted by  $C$ , and the value of  $C$  is allowed to vary from formula to formula. Moreover, given a smooth functional  $I : H_g^1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a real number  $c$ , we set  $I^c := \{u \in H_g^1(\Sigma) \mid I(u) \leq c\}$ .

Finally, given a pair of topological spaces  $(X, A)$  we will denote by  $H^q(X, A)$  the relative  $q$ -th cohomology group with coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$  and by  $H^*(X, A)$  the direct sum of the cohomology groups,  $\bigoplus_{q=0}^\infty H^q(X, A)$ .

### 2.1 Variational Structure

Even though the Palais-Smale is not known to hold for our functional, employing together a deformation lemma proved by Lucia in [22] and a compactness result due to Li and Shafrir [18] it is possible to establish for  $I_\rho$  a strong result through and through analogous to the classical deformation lemma.

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $\rho \neq 8k\pi$  and if  $I_\rho$  has no critical levels inside some interval  $[a, b]$ , then  $\{I_\rho \leq a\}$  is a deformation retract of  $\{I_\rho \leq b\}$ .*

To understand the topology of sublevels of  $I_\rho$  it is useful to recall the well-known Moser-Trudinger inequality on compact surfaces.

**Lemma 2.2** (Moser-Trudinger inequality). *There exists a constant  $C$ , depending only on  $(\Sigma, g)$  such that for all  $u \in H_g^1(\Sigma)$*

$$\int_{\Sigma} e^{\frac{4\pi(u-\bar{u})^2}{\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dV_g}} \leq C. \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\bar{u} := \int_{\Sigma} u dV_g$ . As a consequence one has for all  $u \in H_g^1(\Sigma)$

$$\log \int_{\Sigma} e^{(u-\bar{u})} dV_g \leq \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dV_g + C. \quad (2.2)$$

Chen and Li [6] from this result showed that if  $e^u$  has integral controlled from below (in terms of  $\int_{\Sigma} e^u dV_g$ ) into  $(l+1)$  distinct regions of  $\Sigma$ , the constant  $\frac{1}{16\pi}$  can be basically divided by  $(l+1)$ . Since we are interested in the behavior of the functional when  $\rho \in (8\pi, 16\pi)$ , it is sufficient to consider the case  $l = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** [6] *Let  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  be subsets of  $\Sigma$  satisfying  $\text{dist}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \geq \delta_0$ , where  $\delta_0$  is a positive real number, and let  $\gamma_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Then, for any  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$  there exists a constant  $C = C(\tilde{\varepsilon}, \delta_0, \gamma_0)$  such that  $\log \int_{\Sigma} e^{(u-\bar{u})} dV_g \leq C + \frac{1}{32\pi-\tilde{\varepsilon}} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla_g u|^2 dV_g$  for all the functions satisfying  $\frac{\int_{\Omega_i} e^u dV_g}{\int_{\Sigma} e^u dV_g} \geq \gamma_0$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ .*

Therefore if  $\rho \in (8\pi, 16\pi)$  Lemma 2.3 implies that if “ $e^u$ ” is spread in at least two regions then the functional  $I_{\rho}$  stays uniformly bounded from below. Qualitatively if  $I_{\rho}$  attains large negative values,  $\frac{e^u}{\int_{\Sigma} e^u}$  has to concentrate at a point of  $\Sigma$ . Indeed, using the previous Lemma and a covering argument, Ding, Jost, Li and Wang obtained (see [11] or [13]) the following result.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assuming  $\rho \in (8\pi, 16\pi)$ , the following property holds. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $r > 0$  there exists a large positive constant  $L = L(\varepsilon, r)$  such that for every  $u \in H_g^1(\Sigma)$  with  $I_{\rho}(u) \leq -L$ , there exist a point  $p_u \in \Sigma$  such that  $\int_{\Sigma \setminus B_r(p_u)} e^u dV_g / \int_{\Sigma} e^u dV_g < \varepsilon$ .*

By means of Lemma 2.4 it is possible to map continuously low sublevels of the Euler functional into  $\Sigma$ , roughly speaking associating to  $u$  the point  $p_u$  (see [13] for details); in the following we will denote this map  $\Psi : I_{\rho}^{-L} \rightarrow \Sigma$ . Viceversa, one can map  $\Sigma$  into arbitrarily low sublevels, associating to  $x \in \Sigma$  the function  $\varphi_{\lambda, x} := \tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda, x} - \overline{\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda, x}}$ , where  $\tilde{\varphi}_{\lambda, x}(y) := \log \left( \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2 \text{dist}^2(x, y)} \right)^2$  and  $\lambda$  is a sufficiently large positive real parameter. The composition of the former map with the latter can be taken to be homotopic to the identity on  $\Sigma$ , and hence the following result holds true.

**Proposition 2.5.** [23] *If  $\rho \in (8\pi, 16\pi)$ , there exists  $L > 0$  such that  $\{I_{\rho} \leq -L\}$  has the same homology as  $\Sigma$ .*

On the other hand in [23] Proposition 2.1 is used to prove that, since  $I_{\rho}$  stays uniformly bounded on the solutions of  $(*)$  (again by the compactness result due to Li), it is possible to retract the whole Hilbert space  $\bar{H}_g^1(\Sigma)$  onto a high sublevel  $\{I_{\rho} \leq b\}$ ,  $b \gg 0$ . More precisely:

**Proposition 2.6.** [23] *If  $\rho \in (8\pi, 16\pi)$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and if  $b$  is sufficiently large positive, the sublevel  $\{I_{\rho} \leq b\}$  is a deformation retract of  $X$ , and hence it has the same homology of a point.*

**Remark 2.7.** *Let notice that, since  $\Sigma$  is not contractible, Proposition 2.5 together with Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.1 permits to derive an alternative proof of the general existence result due to Djadli.*

## 2.2 Notions in algebraic topology

Let now recall some well known definitions and results in algebraic topology. First, we recall the Kunneth Theorem for cohomology in a particular case.

**Theorem 2.8.** ([2], page 8) *If  $(X \times Y', Y \times X')$  is an excisive couple in  $X \times X'$  and  $H^*(X, Y)$  is of finite type, i.e.  $H^q(X, Y)$  is finitely generated for each  $q$ , then the map*

$$\mu : H^*(X, Y) \otimes H^*(X', Y') \longrightarrow H^*((X, Y) \times (X', Y')), \quad (2.3)$$

*defined as  $\mu(u \otimes v) := u \times v \in H^{p+q}((X, Y) \times (X', Y'))$ , for any  $u \in H^p(X, Y)$  and  $v \in H^q(X', Y')$ , is an isomorphism.*

**Cup product.** We recall that it is possible to endow the direct sum of the cohomology groups,  $H^*(X) = \bigoplus_q H^q(X)$ , with an associative and graded multiplication, namely the cup product  $\cup : H^p(X) \times H^q(X) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(X)$ . This multiplication turns  $H^*(X)$  into a ring; in fact it is naturally a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring with the integer  $q$  serving as degree and the cup product respects this grading. This definition can be extended to topological pairs; in particular, if  $(Y_1, Y_2)$  is an excisive couple in  $X$ , it is possible to define the cup product

$$\cup : H^p(X, Y_1) \times H^q(X, Y_2) \longrightarrow H^{p+q}(X, Y_1 \cup Y_2)$$

In de Rham cohomology the cup product of differential forms is also known as the wedge product.

**Proposition 2.9.** ([25], page 253) *Let  $(X \times Y', Y \times X')$  be an excisive couple in  $X \times X'$ , and let  $p_1 : (X, Y) \times X' \rightarrow (X, Y)$  and  $p_2 : X \times (X', Y') \rightarrow (X', Y')$  be the projections. Given  $u \in H^p(X, Y)$  and  $v \in H^q(X', Y')$ , then in  $H^{p+q}((X, Y) \times (X', Y'))$  we have*

$$u \times v = p_1^*(u) \cup p_2^*(v).$$

**Cup-length.** A numerical invariant derived from the cohomology ring is the cup-length, which for a topological space  $X$  is defined as follows:

$$\text{CL}(X) = \max \{ l \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists c_1, \dots, c_l \in H^*(X), \text{ with } \dim(c_i) > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, l, \\ \text{such that } c_1 \cup \dots \cup c_l \neq 0 \}.$$

For example the cup-length of the 2-torus is equal to 2; too see it one can think to the volume form in de Rham cohomology.

More generally, we define the cup length for a topological pair  $(X, Y)$ .

$$\text{CL}(X, Y) = \max \{ l \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists c_0 \in H^*(X, Y), \exists c_1, \dots, c_l \in H^*(X), \text{ with } \dim(c_i) > 0 \\ \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, l, \text{ such that } c_0 \cup c_1 \cup \dots \cup c_l \neq 0 \}.$$

In the case where  $Y = \emptyset$ , we just take  $c_0 \in H^0(X)$ ; thus the two definitions are the same.

### 2.3 Lusternik-Schnirelmann relative category

We recall the definition of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category (category, for short); then, following [15], we introduce a more powerful notion. In fact, to be precise, it is not a notion but rather a family of (Lusternik-Schnirelmann) relative categories. In this family we choose only two for their special properties, which are given in Proposition 2.12. We will see that the category is a useful tool in critical point theory to obtain multiplicity results.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . The *category of  $A$  with respect to  $X$* , denoted by  $\text{Cat}_X A$ , is the least integer  $k$  such that  $A \subset A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$ , with  $A_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) closed and contractible in  $X$ . We set  $\text{Cat}_X \emptyset = 0$  and  $\text{Cat}_X A = +\infty$  if there are no integers satisfying the demand.

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $Y$  a closed subset of  $X$ . A closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  is of the  $k$ -th (strong) category relative to  $Y$  (we write  $\text{Cat}_{X,Y} A = k$ ) if  $k$  is the least positive integer such that there exist  $A_i \subset A$  closed and  $h_i : A_i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, k$ , satisfying the following properties:

- (i)  $A = \cup_{i=0}^k A_i$ ,
- (ii)  $h_i(x, 0) = x \quad \forall x \in A_i \quad 0 \leq i \leq k$ ,
- (iii)  $h_0(x, 1) \in Y \quad \forall x \in A_0$  and  $h_0(y, t) = y \quad \forall y \in Y \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$ ,
- (iv)  $\forall i \geq 1 \exists x_i \in X$  such that  $h_i(x, 1) = x_i$ ,
- (v)  $\forall i \geq 1 \quad h_i(A_i \times [0, 1]) \cap Y = \emptyset$ .

We say that  $A$  is of the  $k$ -th weak category relative to  $Y$ , written  $\text{cat}_{X,Y} A = k$ , if  $k$  is minimal verifying conditions (i) – (iv).

If one such  $k$  does not exist, we set  $\text{Cat}_{X,Y} A = +\infty$  (respectively  $\text{cat}_{X,Y} A = +\infty$ ).

Starting from the above definition, it is easy to check that the following properties hold true.

**Proposition 2.12.** [15] Let  $A, B$  and  $Y$  be closed subsets of  $X$ :

1. if  $Y = \emptyset$ , then  $\text{cat}_{X,\emptyset} A = \text{Cat}_{X,\emptyset} A = \text{Cat}_X A$ ;
2.  $\text{Cat}_{X,Y} A \geq \text{cat}_{X,Y} A$ ;
3. if  $A \subset B$ , then  $\text{Cat}_{X,Y} A \leq \text{Cat}_{X,Y} B$ ;
4. if there exists an homeomorphism  $\phi : X \rightarrow X'$  such that  $Y' = \phi(Y)$  and  $A' = \phi(A)$ , then  $\text{Cat}_{X',Y'} A' = \text{Cat}_{X,Y} A$ ;
5. if  $X' \supset X \supset A$  and  $r : X' \rightarrow X$  is a retraction such that  $r^{-1}(Y) = Y$  and  $r^{-1}(A) \supset A$ , then  $\text{Cat}_{X',Y} A \geq \text{Cat}_{X,Y} A$ .

Usually, the notion of category is employed to find critical points of a functional  $I$  on a manifold  $X$ , in connection with the topological structure of  $X$ . Moreover a classical theorem by Lusternik-Schnirelmann shows that either there are at least  $\text{Cat}_X X$  critical points of  $I$  on  $X$ , or at some critical level of  $I$  there is a continuum of critical points.

This result cannot directly help us because, since we look for critical points on  $\bar{H}_g^1(T)$ , we would take  $X = \bar{H}_g^1(T)$  which, clearly, has category equal to 1 (being contractible).

So we will need a generalization of such a theorem which involves relative category of sublevels. In particular a Theorem in [15] can be adapted to our functional.

**Theorem 2.13.** If  $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$  and  $a, b$  are regular value for  $I_\rho$ , then

$$\# \{ \text{critical points of } I_\rho \text{ in } a \leq I_\rho \leq b \} \geq \text{Cat}_{\{I_\rho \leq b\}, \{I_\rho \leq a\}} \{I_\rho \leq b\}.$$

In its original formulation the previous statement dealt with  $C^1$  functionals verifying the Palais-Smale condition, but, as pointed out in [9], the (PS)-condition is used in the proof only twice to apply the classical deformation lemma (see for example [8]). Thus, it is not hard to understand that Proposition 2.1 allows to extend the result to  $I_\rho$ .

Besides, in a particular case the relative category can be estimated by means of the cup-length of a pair in the following way:

**Theorem 2.14.** [2] For any topological space  $X$ , if  $Y$  is a closed subset of  $X$ , then:

$$\text{cat}_{X,Y} X \geq \text{CL}(X, Y) + 1.$$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Before proving Theorem 1.2 we recall the previous result in [9] and we summarize its proof.

**Theorem 3.1.** [9] *If  $\rho \in (8\pi, 4\pi^2)$  and  $\Sigma = T$  is the torus, if the metric  $g$  is sufficiently close in  $C^2(T; S^2 \times S^2)$  to  $dx^2$  and  $h$  is uniformly close to the constant 1,  $I_\rho$  admits a point of strict local minimum and at least two different saddle points.*

Let consider a new functional  $\tilde{I}_\rho$  which coincides with  $I_\rho$  out of a small neighborhood of  $\bar{u}$  and assumes large negative values near  $\bar{u}$  (here we are exploiting the existence of a strict local minimum), then fix  $b$  and  $L$  conveniently, in particular such that  $I_\rho^b = \tilde{I}_\rho^b$  and  $\tilde{I}_\rho^{-L} = I_\rho^{-L} \setminus \Pi\{\text{neighb. of } \bar{u}\}$ ,  $I_\rho$  and  $\tilde{I}_\rho$  have the same critical points of saddle type in  $\tilde{I}_\rho^b \setminus \tilde{I}_\rho^{-L}$ .

Let  $X$  denote the contractible cone over  $T$  and let  $\partial X$  be its boundary; they can be represented as  $X = \frac{T \times [0, 1]}{T \times \{0\}}$ ,  $\partial X = \frac{T \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\})}{T \times \{0\}}$ . To get the thesis it is sufficient to establish the following chain of inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{\text{critical points of } \tilde{I}_\rho \text{ in } -L \leq \tilde{I}_\rho \leq b\} &\stackrel{1}{\geq} \text{Cat}_{\tilde{I}_\rho^b, \tilde{I}_\rho^{-L}} \tilde{I}_\rho^b \stackrel{2}{\geq} \text{Cat}_{\tilde{I}_\rho^b, \phi(\partial X)} \tilde{I}_\rho^b \\ &\stackrel{3}{\geq} \text{Cat}_{\tilde{I}_\rho^b, \phi(\partial X)} \phi(X) \stackrel{4}{\geq} \text{Cat}_{\phi(X), \phi(\partial X)} \phi(X) \\ &\stackrel{5}{\geq} \text{Cat}_{X, \partial X} X \stackrel{6}{\geq} 2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\phi$  is the homeomorphism on the image defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : X &\longrightarrow \bar{H}_g^1(T) \\ (x, t) &\longmapsto t \varphi_{\lambda, x}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\varphi_{\lambda, x}$  defined in Section 2.1 and  $L, \lambda, b$  suitable constants, clearly depending on  $\rho$ .

The first inequality follows immediately from Theorem 2.13, which as showed in [9] holds true also for  $\tilde{I}_\rho$ , while the third and the fifth can be easily derived from the properties of the relative category.

In order to prove 2 one has to construct a deformation retraction (in  $\tilde{I}_\rho^b$ ) of  $\tilde{I}_\rho^{-L}$  onto  $\phi(\partial X)$ . In particular, since  $I_\rho^{-L}$  has two connected components, one can deal separately with these two different regions. For what concerns the neighborhood of the minimum point  $\bar{u}$ , it is enough to combine the steepest descent flow with a deformation sending  $\bar{u}$  into 0; while, in  $I_\rho^{-L}$ , the map  $\Psi : I_\rho^{-L} \rightarrow \Sigma$  has to be composed with the map which realizes the deformation of  $\bar{H}_g^1(T)$  on  $\tilde{I}_\rho^b$ .

Moreover, just perturbing  $\Psi$ , it is possible to obtain a new continuous map  $\tilde{\Psi} : \tilde{I}_\rho^{-L} \rightarrow \phi(\partial X)$  verifying  $\tilde{\Psi}|_{\phi(\partial X)} = \text{Id}|_{\phi(\partial X)}$ . The key point is that applying again (2.1), one is able to extend  $\tilde{\Psi}$  to  $\tilde{I}_\rho^b \setminus B_R$ ,  $R = R(\rho, b)$ . Then by means of  $\tilde{\Psi}$ , one can construct a new map  $r : \tilde{I}_\rho^{-L} \rightarrow \phi(X)$  such that  $r|_{\phi(X)} = \text{Id}|_{\phi(X)}$  and  $r^{-1}(\phi(\partial X)) = \phi(\partial X)$ . Finally, category's properties allow to derive the fourth inequality from the existence of the latter map.

At last the sixth inequality has been tackled using a direct topological argument.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2** Our aim will be to improve the last inequality of (3.1), proving that  $\text{Cat}_{X, \partial X} X \geq 3$ .

To do that we are going to establish a new chain of inequalities, involving the notion of cup length.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cat}_{X, \partial X} X &\stackrel{a}{\geq} \text{Cat}_{T \times [0, 1], T \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\})} (T \times [0, 1]) \\ &\stackrel{b}{\geq} \text{cat}_{T \times [0, 1], T \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\})} (T \times [0, 1]) \\ &\stackrel{c}{\geq} \text{CL}(T \times [0, 1], T \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\})) + 1 \\ &\stackrel{d}{\geq} \text{CL}(T) + 1 \stackrel{e}{=} 3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Let us first prove point *a*. Let consider the  $A_i$  and  $h_i$  verifying the conditions for  $\text{Cat}_{X, \partial X} X$ .

First of all, in order to show that  $A_0$  is disconnected, let us denote by  $X_0 := T \times \{0\}/T \times \{0\}$  and  $X_1 := T \times \{1\}/T \times \{0\}$  the two disconnected components of  $\partial X$ . By definition we know that  $X_0 \cup X_1 = \partial X \subset A_0$  and that there exists  $h_0 : A_0 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  continuous with the properties:  $h_0(A_0, 1) \subset \partial X$  and  $h_0|_{\partial X \times [0, 1]} \equiv \text{Id}_{\partial X}$ . Now, if  $A_0$  was connected we would get a contradiction because  $h_0(A_0, 1)$  would be connected (by continuity of  $h_0$ ) and disconnected being the union of  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ .

Thus we can consider the connected component  $A_{00}$  of  $A_0$  containing  $X_0$  and its complementary in  $A_0$ ,  $A_{01} := A_0 \setminus A_{00}$ . Then, we define

$$\tilde{A}_{0j} := \{(x, t) \mid x \in T, t \in [0, 1], [(x, t)] \in A_{0j}\} \quad j = 0, 1,$$

where  $[(x, t)]$  stands for the equivalence class of  $(x, t)$  in  $X$ .

Let us set  $\tilde{A}_0 := \tilde{A}_{00} \cup \tilde{A}_{01}$ .

Next, we construct a continuous map  $\tilde{h}_0 : \tilde{A}_0 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow T \times [0, 1]$  in the following way:

$$\tilde{h}_0((x, t), s) := \begin{cases} (x, (1-s)t) & (x, t) \in \tilde{A}_{00} \\ (x, (1-s)t + s) & (x, t) \in \tilde{A}_{01}. \end{cases}$$

Just to be rigorous we also define the sets

$$\tilde{A}_i := \{(x, t) \mid x \in T, t \in [0, 1], [(x, t)] \in A_i\} \quad i \geq 1,$$

which are nothing but the  $A_i$ 's seen as subsets of  $T \times [0, 1]$ , without the equivalence relation.

Analogously we define the maps

$$\tilde{h}_i((x, t), s) := h_i([(x, t)], s)$$

which turn out to be well defined, being  $A_i \cap \partial X = \emptyset$ , for any  $i \geq 1$  (see point *(v)* of Definition 2.11).

Now, it is easy to see that the sets  $\tilde{A}_i$ 's, together with the continuous maps  $\tilde{h}_i$ 's, satisfy the conditions of Definition 2.11 for  $\text{Cat}_{T \times [0, 1], T \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\})}(T \times [0, 1])$  and this concludes the proof of this first inequality.

Point *b* follows directly from property 2 of Proposition 2.12, while applying Theorem 2.14 we obtain inequality *c*.

To get step *d*, let us denote by  $k$  the cup-length of  $T$ . By definition there exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in H^*(T)$ , with  $\dim(\alpha_i) > 0$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , such that

$$\alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_k \neq 0.$$

Since  $H^1([0, 1], \{0\} \cup \{1\}) = \mathbb{R}$ , we can also choose  $0 \neq \beta \in H^1([0, 1], \{0\} \cup \{1\})$ .

We are now in position to apply Theorem 2.8 with  $X = [0, 1]$ ,  $Y = \{0\} \cup \{1\}$ ,  $X' = T$  and  $Y' = \emptyset$ . By definition of  $\mu$ , see (2.3), and its injectivity, we obtain

$$\beta \times (\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_k) = \mu(\beta \otimes (\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_k)) \neq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Consider now the projections  $p_1 : T \times ([0, 1], \{0\} \cup \{1\}) \rightarrow ([0, 1], \{0\} \cup \{1\})$  and  $p_2 : T \times [0, 1] \rightarrow T$ . Applying Proposition 2.9, we find:

$$\beta \times (\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_k) = p_1^*(\beta) \cup p_2^*(\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_k) = p_1^*(\beta) \cup p_2^*(\alpha_1) \cup \dots \cup p_2^*(\alpha_k). \quad (3.4)$$

Notice that  $p_1^*(\beta) \in H^*(T \times [0, 1], T \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}))$  and, for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $p_2^*(\alpha_i) \in H^*(T \times [0, 1])$ , with  $\dim(p_2^*(\alpha_i)) > 0$ .

In conclusion, by virtue of (3.3) and (3.4), we proved exactly that  $\text{CL}(T) \leq \text{CL}(T \times [0, 1], T \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}))$ .

Finally, the equality named *e* is just due to the well known fact that  $\text{CL}(T) = 2$ . The proof is thereby complete. ■



## References

- [1] E.P. Caglioti, P.L. Lions, C. Marchioro and M. Pulvirenti, *A special class of stationary flows for two dimensional Euler equations: a statistical mechanics description*, Commun. Math. Phys. **143** (1995), 229-260.
- [2] K.C. Chang, *Infinite dimensional Morse theory and multiple solution problems*, PNLDE 6, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [3] S.Y.A. Chang, M.J. Gursky and P.C. Yang, *The scalar curvature equation on 2- and 3- spheres*, Calc. Var. and Partial Diff. Eq. **1** (1993), 205-229.
- [4] S.Y.A. Chang and P.C. Yang, *Prescribing Gaussian curvature on  $S^2$* , Acta Math. **159** (1987), 215-259.
- [5] W. Chen and C. Li, *Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 615-622.
- [6] W. Chen and C. Li, *Prescribing Gaussian curvatures on surfaces with conical singularities*, J. Geom. Anal. 1-4 (1991), 359-372.
- [7] C.C. Chen and C.S. Lin, *Topological degree for a mean field equation on Riemann surfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **56** (2003), 1667-1727.
- [8] D.C. Clark, *A variant of the Lusternick-Schnirelman theory*, Indiana J. Math **22** (1972), 65-74.
- [9] F. De Marchis, *Multiplicity result for a scalar field equation on compact surfaces*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **33** (2008), 2208-2224.
- [10] F. De Marchis, *Generic multiplicity for a scalar field equation on compact surfaces*, J. Funct. Anal., **259** (2010), 2165-2192.
- [11] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li and G. Wang, *Existence result for mean field equations*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire **16** (1999), 653-666.
- [12] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li and G. Wang, *The differential equation  $\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^u$  on a compact Riemann surface*, Asian J. Math. **1** (1997), 230-248.
- [13] Z. Djadli, *Existence result for the mean field problem on Riemann surfaces of all genres*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **10** (2008), 205-220.
- [14] Z. Djadli and A. Malchiodi, *Existence of conformal metrics with constant  $Q$ -curvature*, Ann. of Math. **168** (2008), 813-858.
- [15] G. Fournier and M. Willem, *Multiple solutions of the forced double pendulum equation*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire **6** (1989), 259-281.
- [16] M.K.H. Kiessling, *Statistical mechanics approach to some problems in conformal geometry. Statistical mechanics: from rigorous results to applications*, Phys. A **279** (2000), 353-368.
- [17] J.L. Kazdan e F.W. Warner, *Curvature functions for compact 2-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **99** (1974), 14-47.
- [18] Y.Y. Li and I. Shafrir, *Blow-up analysis for solutions of  $-\Delta u = V e^u$  in dimension two*, Ind. Univ. Math. J. **43** (1994), 1225-1270.
- [19] Y.Y. Li, *Harnack type inequality: the methods of moving planes*, Comm. Math. Phys. **200** (1999), 421-444.
- [20] C.S. Lin, *Topological degree for mean field equations on  $S^2$* , Duke Math. J. **104** (2000), 501-536.

- [21] M. Lucia, *A blowing-up branch of solutions for a mean field equation*, Calc. Var. **26** (2006), 313-330.
- [22] M. Lucia, *A deformation lemma with an application with a mean field equation*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **30** (2007), 113-138. .
- [23] A. Malchiodi, *Morse theory and a scalar field equation on compact surfaces*, Adv. Diff. Eq. **13** (2008), 1109-1129.
- [24] M. Nolasco and G. Tarantello, *On a sharp type-Sobolev inequality on two-dimensional compact manifolds*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **145** (1998), 165-195.
- [25] E.H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1966.
- [26] M. Struwe and G. Tarantello, *On multivortex solutions in Chern-Simons gauge theory*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. **8** (1998), 109-121.
- [27] G. Tarantello, *Multiple condensate solutions for the Chern-Simons-Higgs theory*, J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996), 3769-3796.
- [28] Y. Yang, *Solitons in field theory and nonlinear analysis*, Springer, 2001.