Simple Lie algebras and topological ODEs

Marco Bertola, Boris Dubrovin, Di Yang

Abstract

For a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ we define a system of linear ODEs with polynomial coefficients, which we call the topological equation of $\mathfrak{g}$-type. The dimension of the space of solutions regular at infinity is equal to the rank of the Lie algebra. For the simplest example $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ the regular solution can be expressed via products of Airy functions and their derivatives; this matrix valued function was used in our previous work [4] for computing logarithmic derivatives of the Witten–Kontsevich tau-function. For an arbitrary simple Lie algebra we construct a basis in the space of regular solutions to the topological equation called *generalized Airy resolvents*. We also outline applications of the generalized Airy resolvents to computing the Witten and Fan–Jarvis–Ruan invariants of the Deligne–Mumford moduli spaces of stable algebraic curves.

Keywords. simple Lie algebra; topological equation; dual topological equation; generalized Airy resolvents; normal form; essential series.

1 Introduction

In this paper to an arbitrary simple Lie algebra we will associate two families of special functions defined by certain systems of linear ODEs with polynomial coefficients along with appropriate asymptotic conditions. Let us begin with the first family.

1.1 Topological ODE and generalized Airy resolvents

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ of rank n. We sometimes refer to elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ as matrices, even if the constructions will not depend on the choice of a matrix realization of the Lie algebra. Choose $\mathfrak{h}$ a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ a set of simple roots, and $\triangle \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ the root system. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ admits the root space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \triangle} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha. \tag{1.1}$$

Let θ be the highest root w.r.t. Π. Denote by $(\cdot|\cdot) : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$ the *normalized* Cartan–Killing form [24] such that $(\theta|\theta) = 2$. For any root α, let $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ denote the root vector of α w.r.t. $(\cdot|\cdot)$. Choose a set of Weyl generators $E_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$, $F_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}$, $H_i = 2H_{\alpha_i}/(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)$ satisfying

$$[E_i, F_i] = H_i, \quad [H_i, E_j] = A_{ij} E_j, \quad [H_i, F_j] = -A_{ij} F_j \tag{1.2}$$

1

where (A_{ij}) denotes the Cartan matrix associated to $\mathfrak{g}$. Here and below, free Latin indices take integer values from 1 to n unless otherwise indicated. Choose $E_{-\theta} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\theta}$, $E_\theta \in \mathfrak{g}_\theta$. They can be normalized by the conditions $(E_\theta \,|\, E_{-\theta}) = 1$ and $\omega(E_{-\theta}) = -E_\theta$, where $\omega : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is the Chevalley involution. Let $I_+ := \sum_{i=1}^n E_i$ be a principal nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g}$. Define

$$\Lambda = I_+ + \lambda\, E_{-\theta}. \tag{1.3}$$

Consider the following differential equation for a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued function $M = M(\lambda)$ of an independent variable λ

$$M' = [M, \Lambda], \qquad ' = \frac{d}{d\lambda}. \tag{1.4}$$

Definition 1.1. *We call eq. (1.4) the topological differential equation (in short: topological ODE) of $\mathfrak{g}$-type.*

Solutions of the differential equation (1.4) are entire functions of the complex variable λ. At $\lambda = \infty$ they may have a singularity. A solution $M(\lambda)$ is called *regular* if it grows at most polynomially at $|\lambda| \to \infty$ within a certain chosen sector of the complex λ-plane. Denote by $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ the vector space of regular solutions to (1.4). Our goal is to describe the space $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ for an arbitrary simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Actually, we will only deal with asymptotic expansions of regular solutions without entering into details about the region of their validity that can be described by means of standard techniques of asymptotic analysis of ODEs, see e.g. [35].

As a way of introductory example we consider now the simplest instance $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2(\mathbb{C})$. In this case $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Write $M(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} a(\lambda) & b(\lambda) \\ c(\lambda) & -a(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$. The equation (1.4) reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \\ c' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2\lambda & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.5}$$

The type of behaviour at infinity is essentially specified by the eigenvalues of the matrix of coefficients. In this case they are equal to 0 and $\pm 2\sqrt{\lambda}$. Solutions corresponding to the nonzero eigenvalues have an essential singularity $\sim \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\pm \frac{4}{3}\lambda^{3/2}}$ at infinity. The regular solution is unique up to a constant factor. It corresponds to the eigenvector with eigenvalue zero. The solution has the form

$$M(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{g=1}^\infty \frac{(6g-5)!!}{96^{g-1}\cdot(g-1)!}\lambda^{-3g+2} & 2\sum_{g=0}^\infty \frac{(6g-1)!!}{96^g\cdot g!}\lambda^{-3g} \\ -2\sum_{g=0}^\infty \frac{6g+1}{6g-1}\frac{(6g-1)!!}{96^g\cdot g!}\lambda^{-3g+1} & -\sum_{g=1}^\infty \frac{(6g-5)!!}{96^{g-1}\cdot(g-1)!}\lambda^{-3g+2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.6}$$

This matrix-valued function appeared in our paper [4]. It was used, in a slightly modified normalization, as key tool within an efficient algorithm for computation of intersection numbers of tautological classes on the Deligne–Mumford moduli spaces, see eq. (5.14) below.

The topological ODE for the Lie algebra $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ is closely related to the theory of Airy functions. Indeed, from (1.5) it readily follows that

$$a = \frac{1}{2}b', \quad c = -\frac{1}{2}b'' + \lambda\, b \tag{1.7}$$

2

while for $b = b(\lambda)$ one arrives at a third order ODE

$$-\frac{1}{2}b''' + 2\lambda\,b' + b = 0. \tag{1.8}$$

Solutions to this equation are products of solutions to the Airy equation

$$y'' = \lambda\,y. \tag{1.9}$$

That is, $b(\lambda)$ is the diagonal value of the resolvent of the Airy equation. The matrix $M(\lambda)$ can also be considered as resolvent of the matrix version of the Airy equation

$$\left[\frac{d}{d\lambda} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right]\vec{y} = 0, \quad \vec{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ -y' \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.10}$$

Let us proceed now with the general case. The eigenvectors of the matrix of coefficients of the system (1.4) with zero eigenvalue correspond to matrices commuting with Λ. As observed in [27], the kernel of the linear operator

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\Lambda(\lambda_0)} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$$

for any $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ has dimension $n = \mathrm{rk}\,\mathfrak{g}$. This suggests that the dimension of the space of regular solutions to the topological ODE (1.4) is equal to n. We show in Thm. 1.2 that this is the case indeed. Moreover, we will construct a particular basis in the space $\mathfrak{S}_\infty^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})$. To this end denote $L(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]$ the loop algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Introduce the *principal gradation* on the Lie algebra $L(\mathfrak{g})$ in the following way

$$\deg E_i = 1, \ \deg H_i = 0, \ \deg F_i = -1, \ i = 1, \ldots, n, \quad \deg \lambda = h. \tag{1.11}$$

Here h is the Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$. According to this gradation we have $\deg \Lambda = 1$. Recall [23] that $\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda \subset L(\mathfrak{g})$ has the following decomposition

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{j\in E}\mathbb{C}\Lambda_j, \qquad \deg \Lambda_j = j \in E := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n}(m_i + h\mathbb{Z}) \tag{1.12}$$

where the integers

$$1 = m_1 < m_2 \le \ldots \le m_{n-1} < m_n = h - 1 \tag{1.13}$$

are the exponents of $\mathfrak{g}$. The matrices Λ_i commute pairwise

$$[\Lambda_i, \Lambda_j] = 0, \qquad \forall\, i, j \in E. \tag{1.14}$$

They can be normalized in such a way [25, 24] that

$$\Lambda_{m_a+kh} = \Lambda_{m_a}\lambda^k, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.15}$$

$$(\Lambda_{m_a}\,|\,\Lambda_{m_b}) = h\,\lambda\,\delta_{a+b,n+1}. \tag{1.16}$$

In particular, we choose $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda$.

3

Theorem 1.2. *1)* $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{S}_{\infty}^{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{rk } \mathfrak{g}$.

2) There exists a unique basis $M_1(\lambda), \ldots, M_n(\lambda)$ in the space $\mathfrak{S}_{\infty}^{\text{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the form

$$M_a(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\frac{m_a}{h}} \left[\Lambda_{m_a} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{a,k}(\lambda) \right],$$

(1.17)

$$M_{a,k}(\lambda) \in L(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \deg M_{a,k}(\lambda) = m_a - (h+1)k, \quad a = 1, \ldots, n.$$

The proof is given in Sect. 2.

Definition 1.3. *The solutions (1.17) to the topological ODE of $\mathfrak{g}$-type are called generalized Airy resolvents of $\mathfrak{g}$-type.*

The topological ODE (1.4) can be recast into the form

$$\left[\frac{d}{d\lambda} + \Lambda, M \right] = 0.$$

(1.18)

Using such a representation along with (1.14), (1.16) as well as the invariance property of the Cartan–Killing form one arrives at

Proposition 1.4. *The generalized Airy resolvents commute pairwise*

$$[M_a(\lambda), M_b(\lambda)] = 0, \quad a, b = 1, \ldots, n.$$

(1.19)

Moreover, $(M_a(\lambda) \,|\, M_b(\lambda)) = h \, \delta_{a+b,n+1}$.

Example 1.5. *Consider the A_n case, $\mathfrak{g} = sl_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. The $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix Λ reads*

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The exponents are $m_a = a$, $a = 1, \ldots, n$, the Coxeter number is $h = n+1$. One can choose

$$\Lambda_i = \Lambda^i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Then

$$M_a(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\frac{a}{n+1}} \left[\Lambda^a + \frac{1}{(n+1)\lambda} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \frac{\zeta^{\nu a} - 1}{4 \sin^2 \frac{\pi \nu}{n+1}} \Omega_\nu \Lambda^{a-1} + \ldots \right]$$

(1.20)

where $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n+1}}$ is a root of unity, $\Omega_\nu = \text{diag}\,(1, \zeta^\nu, \zeta^{2\nu}, \ldots, \zeta^{n\nu})$. The recursion procedure for computing subsequent terms will be explained below, see Example 2.4. In the particular case $n = 1$ one obtains the solution (1.6).

4

The recursive procedure for computing the series expansions of the generalized Airy resolvents outlined in the Example 1.5 above can be represented in an alternative form. The basic idea can be already seen from the $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ example: the topological ODE for a matrix-valued function reduces to a scalar differential equation (1.8) for one of the entries of the matrix (called $b(\lambda)$ above); other matrix entries are expressed in terms of $b(\lambda)$ and its derivatives, see eq. (1.7).

Let us explain a general method for reducing the topological ODE for an arbitrary simple Lie algebra of rank n to a system of ODEs with n dependent variables. To this end we will use the Jordan decomposition of the operator ad_{I_+} described hereafter.

Let $\rho^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$ be the Weyl co-vector of $\mathfrak{g}$, whose defining equations are

$$\alpha_i(\rho^\vee) = 1, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{1.21}$$

Write $\rho^\vee = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\, H_i$, $x_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and define $I_- = 2\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\, F_i$. Then $I_+, I_-, \rho^\vee$ form an $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ Lie algebra:

$$[\rho^\vee, I_+] = I_+, \quad [\rho^\vee, I_-] = -I_-, \quad [I_+, I_-] = 2\rho^\vee. \tag{1.22}$$

According to [27, 2], there exist elements $\gamma^1, \ldots, \gamma^n \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{ad}_{I_-} = \mathrm{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{\gamma^1, \ldots, \gamma^n\}, \qquad [\rho^\vee, \gamma^i] = -m_i\,\gamma^i. \tag{1.23}$$

Fix $\{\gamma^1, \ldots, \gamma^n\}$, then the lowest weight decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ has the form

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}^i, \qquad \mathcal{L}^i = \mathrm{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{\gamma^i, \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}\gamma^i, \ldots, \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^{2m_i}\gamma^i\}. \tag{1.24}$$

Here each $\mathcal{L}^i$ is an $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$-module. Any $\mathfrak{g}$-valued function $M(\lambda)$ can be uniquely represented in the form

$$M(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i(\lambda)\,\mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^{2m_i}\gamma^i + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{m=0}^{2m_i-1} K_{im}(\lambda)\,\mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^m \gamma^i \tag{1.25}$$

where $S_i(\lambda)$, $K_{im}(\lambda)$ are certain complex-valued functions.

Theorem 1.6. *Let M be any solution of (1.4). The functions K_{im} have the following expressions*

$$K_{im} = (-1)^m S_i^{(2m_i - m)} + \sum_{u=1}^n \sum_{v=0}^{2m_i-1-m} k_{imuv}^0\, S_u^{(v)} + \lambda \sum_{u=1}^n \sum_{v=0}^{m_i-1-m} k_{imuv}^1\, S_u^{(v)}, \; m = 0, \ldots, m_i - 1;$$

$$K_{im} = (-1)^m\, S_i^{(2m_i - m)}, \; m = m_i, \ldots, 2m_i - 1 \tag{1.26}$$

with constant coefficients k_{imuv}^0, k_{imuv}^1 independent of the choice of the solution M. Moreover, the topological ODE (1.4) is equivalent to a system of linear ODEs for $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ of the form

$$S_i^{(2m_i+1)} = \sum_{u=1}^n \sum_{v=0}^{2m_i} k_{iuv}^0\, S_u^{(v)} + \lambda \sum_{u=1}^n \sum_{v=1}^{m_i} k_{iuv}^1\, S_u^{(v)}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{1.27}$$

Here k_{iuv}^0, k_{iuv}^1 are constants independent of the choice of the solution M.

The proof is given in Sect. 3. Note that the coefficients k^0_{iuv}, k^1_{iuv} coincide with k^0_{imuv}, k^1_{imuv} at $m = -1$. See details in the proof.

We call eqs. (1.27) the *reduced topological ODEs* of $\mathfrak{g}$-type. Denote by $S_{a;1}(\lambda), \ldots, S_{a;n}(\lambda)$ the S-coefficients in the decomposition (1.25) of the generalized Airy resolvent $M_a(\lambda)$, $a = 1, \ldots, n$.

Definition 1.7. *The series $S_{a;i}(\lambda)$ are called essential series of $\mathfrak{g}$-type.*

Example 1.8 (The A_1 case). *The essential series $S_{1;1}$ coincides with the $(1,2)$-entry of the Airy resolvent (1.6) (the function $b(\lambda)$ in (1.5)). The expression (1.26) is given explicitly by (1.7).*

Example 1.9 (The A_2 case). *The decomposition (1.25) reads*

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 2K_{11} + K_{22} & -2S_1 - 3K_{23} & 6S_2 \\ 2K_{10} + K_{21} & -2K_{22} & 3K_{23} - 2S_1 \\ K_{20} & 2K_{10} - K_{21} & -2K_{11} + K_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.28}$$

The expression (1.26) is given explicitly by

$$K_{10} = S_1'' + 3\lambda\, S_2, \quad K_{11} = -S_1', \tag{1.29}$$

$$K_{20} = S_2^{(4)} - 2\lambda\, S_1, \quad K_{21} = -S_2''', \quad K_{22} = S_2'', \quad K_{23} = -S_2'. \tag{1.30}$$

The reduced equations (1.27) read

$$6S_2 + 2S_1^{(3)} + 9\,\lambda\, S_2' = 0, \tag{1.31}$$

$$2S_1 + 2S_1^{(4)} + 15S_2' - S_2^{(5)} + \lambda\,(9S_2'' + 6S_1') = 0. \tag{1.32}$$

Explicit expressions of the essential series $S_{a;j}$ of the A_2-type can be found in Sect. 5.

Proposition 1.10. *1. For any $a \in \{1, 2, \ldots n\}$, the essential series $S_{a;i}$ satisfy*

1) $S_{a;1}(\lambda), \ldots, S_{a;n}(\lambda) \in \lambda^{-\frac{m_a}{h}} \cdot \mathbb{C}[[\lambda^{-1}]]$;
2) *the vectors $(S_{a;i})_{i=1\ldots n}$, $a = 1, \ldots, n$ are linearly independent;*
3) $S_{a;a}$ *is non-zero.*

2. Let $\mathfrak{g} \neq D_{n=2k}$ and M be a regular solution of the topological ODE (1.4) such that the S_a-coefficient of M coincides with $S_{a;a}$; then $M = M_a$. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is of type D_n with n even, the statement remains valid for $a \notin \{n/2, n/2 + 1\}$, and it should be modified for $a = n/2$ and $a = n/2 + 1$ in the following way: $M = M_a$ if the $S_{n/2}$, $S_{n/2+1}$-coefficients of M coincide with $S_{a;n/2}$, $S_{a;n/2+1}$, respectively.

The proof will be given in Sect. 3. We call $S_{a;a}$, $a = 1, \ldots, n$ the *fundamental series* of $\mathfrak{g}$-type.

1.2 Dual topological ODE and its normal form

Solutions to (1.4) can be expressed by a suitable *integral transform* via another class of functions satisfying somewhat simpler differential equations. These functions will be constructed as solutions to another system of linear ODEs for a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued function $G = G(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{C}$. In the notations of the previous section it reads

$$[G', E_{-\theta}] + [G, I_+] + x\, G = 0, \qquad ' = \frac{d}{dx}. \tag{1.33}$$

6

Definition 1.11. *We call equation (1.33) the dual topological differential equation (dual topological ODE for short). The space of solutions of (1.33) is denoted by $\mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{g})$.*

Solutions to the dual topological ODE are related with the generalized Airy resolvents by the following Laplace–Borel transform

$$M(\lambda) = \int_C G(x)\, e^{-\lambda x} dx, \tag{1.34}$$

where C is a suitable contour on the complex x-plane, which can depend on the choice of a solution $M(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Example 1.12. *For $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ the dual topological equation for the matrix-valued function $G = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(x) & \beta(x) \\ \gamma(x) & -\alpha(x) \end{pmatrix}$ reduces to*

$$\beta' = \left(\frac{x^2}{4} - \frac{1}{2x} \right) \beta. \tag{1.35}$$

This yields the following integral representation[1] for solutions to the differential equation (1.8)

$$b(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{\frac{x^3}{12} - \lambda x} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}}. \tag{1.36}$$

For an arbitrary simple Lie algebra we will now describe the reduction procedure for the dual topological ODE similar to the one used in the previous subsection. Write

$$G(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(x)\, \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^{2m_i} \gamma^i + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{m=0}^{2m_i-1} \widetilde{K}_{im}(x)\, \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^m \gamma^i \tag{1.37}$$

(cf. (1.25) above).

Theorem 1.13. *i). Let G be any solution of (1.33). Then $\widetilde{K}_{im}$ have the following expressions*

$$\widetilde{K}_{im} = \sum_{u=1}^n \sum_{v=0}^{2m_i-m} (-x)^v k_{imuv}^0\, \phi_u + \sum_{u=1}^n \sum_{v=0}^{m_i-1-m} (-1)^v k_{imuv}^1 \left(x^v\, \phi_u' + v\, x^{v-1} \phi_u \right), \quad m = 0, \ldots, m_i - 1,$$

$$\widetilde{K}_{im} = x^{2m_i - m} \phi_i, \quad m = m_i, \ldots, 2m_i \tag{1.38}$$

---

[1] Here and below the Laplace-type integrals are understood in a formal sense by applying a term-by-term integration

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{\frac{x^3}{12} - \lambda x} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{x^3}{12} \right)^k e^{-\lambda x} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma\left(3k + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{k!\, 12^k} \lambda^{-3k - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

In order to arrive at an integral representation of a solution with the same asymptotic expansion within a certain sector near $\lambda = \infty$ one can integrate over a ray of the form $x = r\, e^{\frac{(2k+1)\pi i}{3}}$, $0 < r < \infty$ for $k = 0, 1,$ or 2.

where k^0_{imuv}, k^1_{imuv} are the same constants as in Thm. 1.6. Moreover, the dual topological ODE (1.33) is equivalent to a system of **first order** ODEs

$$x^{2m_i+1}\,\phi_i + \sum_{u=1}^{n}\sum_{v=0}^{2m_i}(-1)^v\,k^0_{iuv}\,x^v\,\phi_u + \sum_{u=1}^{n}\sum_{v=1}^{m_i}(-1)^v\,k^1_{iuv}\,(v\,x^{v-1}\,\phi_u + x^v\,\phi'_u) = 0. \qquad (1.39)$$

Here, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and k^0_{iuv}, k^1_{iuv} are constants (the same as in Thm. 1.6).

ii). The point $x = 0$ is a Fuchsian singularity of the ODE system (1.39). More precisely, equations (1.39) have the following **normal form**

$$\phi' = \frac{V_{-1}}{x}\,\phi + \sum_{k=0}^{2h-2} x^k\,V_k\,\phi, \qquad \phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)^T, \qquad (1.40)$$

$$V_{-1} = \operatorname{diag}(-m_{n+1-a}/h)_{a=1,\ldots,n}, \quad V_k \in \operatorname{Mat}(n,\mathbb{C}),\ k \geq 0. \qquad (1.41)$$

iii). $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{g}) = \operatorname{rk}\mathfrak{g}$.

Remark 1.14. *Choosing the contour C in several ways in (1.34) one obtains a complete basis of solutions of (1.4).*

Example 1.15. *For $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ one reads from (1.35) that $V_{-1} = -\frac{1}{2}$, $V_0 = V_1 = 0$, $V_2 = \frac{1}{4}$.*

Example 1.16. *For $\mathfrak{g} = sl_3(\mathbb{C})$ the normal form (1.40) of the dual topological equation reads*

$$\phi' = \left[-\frac{1}{3x}\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{x^2}{9}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{x^4}{6}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]\phi. \qquad (1.42)$$

Let G_a denote the Laplace–Borel transform of M_a, and $\phi_{a;1}, \ldots, \phi_{a;n}$ the ϕ-coefficients of G_a, $a = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the matrix Φ defined by $\Phi_{ia} := \phi_{a;i}$ is a fundamental solution matrix of the normal form (1.40).

Definition 1.17. *We call $\phi_{a;i}$ the dual essential series, and $\phi_{a;a}$ the dual fundamental series.*

Conjecture 1.18. *For any $a \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, the dual fundamental series $\phi_{a;a}$ satisfies a scalar linear ODE of order less than or equal to n with polynomial coefficients in x. Such an ODE with the smallest possible order, say r_a, is called a dominant equation and r_a is called a dominant number. The point $x = 0$ is a regular singularity of the dominant equation. If h is a prime number, then $r_1 = \ldots = r_n = h - 1$.*

For low ranks, the above conjecture is verified, and the dual fundamental series $\phi_{a;a}$ can be expressed via elementary functions or combinations of elementary and Bessel functions, see Table 1. However, already for the case of the Lie algebra $sl_5(\mathbb{C})$ we were not able to identify the dual fundamental series with a classical special function.

Last but not least: why do we call eq. (1.4) the topological differential equation? For the case of $\mathfrak{g} = sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ the Airy resolvent (1.6) appears in the expression of [4] for generating series of intersection numbers of ψ-classes on the Deligne–Mumford moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N}$; see also the formula (5.14) below. It turns out that for a simply-laced Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ a representation similar

Type of $\mathfrak{g}$	Special functions arising in dual fundamental series
A_1	exponential function
rank 2	Bessel functions
rank 3	Bessel functions, exponential functions
A_4	solutions to scalar ODEs of order 4
D_4	Bessel functions, exponential functions

Table 1: Special functions arising from the dual topological ODE of $\mathfrak{g}$-type.

to that of [4] can be used for computing the E. Witten and H. Fan–T. Jarvis–Y. Ruan (FJRW) intersection numbers. We give the precise expressions in the last section of the present paper, see Theorems 5.1 and 5.5 below. Connection between FJRW invariants and tau-functions of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of $A\,D\,E$-type conjectured in [38] and proven in [16] and [17] plays an important role in derivation of these expressions. The non-simply-laced analogue of the FJRW intersection numbers, according to the recent paper by S.-Q. Liu, Y. Ruan and Y. Zhang [30], is also related to tau-functions of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies of $B\,C\,F\,G$-type.

Organization of the paper. In Sect. 2 we prove Theorem 1.2. In Sect. 3 we prove Thm. 1.6, Prop. 1.10 and Thm. 1.13. In Sect. 4, we calculate the dual essential series, in particular the dual fundamental series for several special cases. Concluding remarks are given in Sect. 5. We give definition and examples of generalized Airy functions in Appendix A.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Before proceeding with the proof, we introduce the *gradation operator*

$$\mathrm{gr} = h\,\lambda\frac{d}{d\lambda} + \mathrm{ad}_{\rho^\vee}. \tag{2.1}$$

Recall that $\rho^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$ is the Weyl co-vector. The following statement [25] will be useful in the proof.

Lemma 2.1. *An element $a \in L(\mathfrak{g})$ is homogeneous of principal degree k iff*

$$\mathrm{gr}\,a = k\,a. \tag{2.2}$$

The action of the gradation operator can be obviously extended to expressions containing fractional powers of λ.

The following lemma will also be useful.

Lemma 2.2. *The following formulæ hold true:*

$$L(\mathfrak{g}) = \operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda \oplus \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda, \qquad \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda = (\operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda)^\perp, \tag{2.3}$$

where the orthogonality is with respect to the Cartan–Killing form.

The proof can be found e.g. in [10].

Proof of Thm. 1.2. Let us fix an exponent m_a for some $a \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We first prove existence and uniqueness of formal solutions of (1.4) of the following form

$$M(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\frac{m_a}{h}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k(\lambda), \quad M_0(\lambda) = \Lambda_{m_a} \tag{2.4}$$

$$M_k(\lambda) \in L(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \deg M_k(\lambda) = m_a - (h+1)k \tag{2.5}$$

Substituting (2.4) in (1.4) and equating the terms of equal principal degrees we obtain

$$[\Lambda, M_0] = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

$$[\Lambda, M_{k+1}] = -M_k' + \frac{m_a}{h} \frac{1}{\lambda} M_k, \qquad k \geq 0. \tag{2.7}$$

The first condition follows due to the choice $M_0 = \Lambda_{m_a}$ (see eq. (1.14) above). In order to resolve eq. (2.7) for $k = 0$ one has to check that

$$h \lambda \Lambda_{m_a}' - m_a \Lambda_{m_a} \in \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda.$$

Indeed, since $\deg \Lambda_{m_a} = a$ we have

$$\operatorname{gr} \Lambda_{m_a} \equiv h \lambda \Lambda_{m_a}' + [\rho^\vee, \Lambda_{m_a}] = m_a \Lambda_{m_a}.$$

So, the equation (2.7) for $k = 0$ becomes

$$[\Lambda, M_1] = \frac{1}{h \lambda} [\rho^\vee, \Lambda_{m_a}].$$

Due to Lemma 2.2 it suffices to check that the r.h.s. is orthogonal to $\operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda$. Indeed,

$$([\rho^\vee, \Lambda_{m_a}] \mid \Lambda_j) = (\rho^\vee \mid [\Lambda_{m_a}, \Lambda_j]) = 0.$$

This completes the first step of the recursive procedure.

Assume, by induction, that the k-th term $M_k(\lambda)$ exists and, moreover, it satisfies

$$-M_k' + \frac{m_a}{h} \frac{1}{\lambda} M_k \in \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda.$$

Then there exists a matrix M_{k+1} satisfying eq. (2.7). It is determined uniquely modulo an element in $\operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda$. Write

$$M_{k+1} = A + B, \quad A \in \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda, \ B \in \operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\deg A = \deg B = m_a - (h+1)(k+1). \tag{2.9}$$

10

Since the map
$$\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda : \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda \to \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda$$
is an isomorphism it remains to prove that the matrix $B = B(\lambda)$ is uniquely determined by the condition
$$-M'_{k+1} + \frac{m_a}{h}\frac{1}{\lambda} M_{k+1} \in \mathrm{Im}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda.$$

Like above, using the degree condition (2.9) along with the gradation operator (2.1) recast the last condition into the form

$$[\rho^\vee, A + B] + (h+1)(k+1)(A+B) \perp \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda. \tag{2.10}$$

By assumption $A \perp \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda$. Also $[\rho^\vee, B] \perp \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda$ due to commutativity of $\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{ad}_\Lambda$. Thus eq. (2.10) reduces to the system

$$(h+1)(k+1)\,(B\,|\,\Lambda_j) = -\left([\rho^\vee, A]\,|\,\Lambda_j\right), \quad j \in E. \tag{2.11}$$

Decompose now B as follows:

$$B = \sum_{b=1}^{n} c_b(\lambda)\,\Lambda_{m_b}(\lambda).$$

Choosing $j = m_1, \ldots, m_n$ and using the normalization (1.16) one finally obtains

$$B = -\frac{1}{\lambda\,h\,(h+1)(k+1)} \sum_{b=1}^{n} \left([\rho^\vee, A]\,|\,\Lambda_{m_{n+1-b}}\right)\Lambda_{m_b}.$$

This concludes the inductive step and thus completes the proof of existence and uniqueness of solutions (1.17) to the topological ODE (1.4).

The series $M_1(\lambda), \ldots, M_n(\lambda)$ are linearly independent since their leading terms are. It is known from [35] that there exist analytic solutions to the topological ODE whose asymptotic expansions in certain sector coincide with M_i. These solutions we denote again by M_i. We conclude that

$$\mathrm{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{M_1, \ldots, M_n\} \subset \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\infty(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{2.12}$$

In particular $\dim_\mathbb{C} \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\infty(\mathfrak{g}) \geq n$.

On another hand, according to Kostant [27] for any $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ the kernel of $\mathrm{ad}_{\Lambda(\lambda_0)} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is of dimension n. This implies that

$$\dim_\mathbb{C} \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\infty \leq n. \tag{2.13}$$

Hence $\dim_\mathbb{C} \mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\infty(\mathfrak{g}) = n$ and $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\infty(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathrm{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{M_1, \ldots, M_n\}$. Thm. 1.2 is proved. $\qquad\square$

The above proof gives an algorithm for computing M_a, $a = 1, \ldots, n$ recursively.

Remark 2.3. *Thm. 1.2 and Prop. 1.4 tell that $\mathfrak{S}^{\mathrm{reg}}_\infty(\mathfrak{g})$ forms a one-parameter family of Abelian subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Example 2.4 (The A_n case). *Take the same matrix realization of $\mathfrak{g}$ as in Example 1.5, so that the normalized Cartan–Killing form coincides with the matrix trace. Recall that $h = n + 1$, $m_a = a$. We have*

$$\Lambda_j = \Lambda^j, \qquad \rho^\vee = \operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n-2}{2}, \ldots, -\frac{n}{2}\right), \tag{2.14}$$

$$H_j = E_{j,j} - E_{j+1,j+1}, \qquad \mathfrak{h} = \operatorname{Span}_\mathbb{C}\{H_j\}_{j=1}^n. \tag{2.15}$$

Fix an exponent m_a. Consider $[L, M] = 0$, $L = \partial_\lambda + \Lambda$ and write $M = \lambda^{-\frac{a}{h}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty M_k$, then

$$M_k = A_k + B_k, \quad A_k \in \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda, \ B_k \in \operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda, \ k \geq 0. \tag{2.16}$$

Here A_k and B_k have the principal degree $\deg A_k = \deg B_k = a - (h+1)k$, and

$$B_k = \frac{-1}{\lambda \, k \, h(h+1)} \sum_{b=1}^n \left(\operatorname{ad}_{\rho^\vee} A_k \mid \Lambda_{n+1-b}\right) \Lambda_b, \quad k \geq 1. \tag{2.17}$$

It can be easily seen that

$$\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]} \left\{ H_i \, \Lambda^j \mid i = 1, \ldots, n, \ j = 0, \ldots, n \right\}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(X\Lambda^j [\rho^\vee, \Lambda_\ell]\right) = \begin{cases} -h \, \lambda \, x_j \, \delta_{n+1,j+\ell} & j = 1, \ldots, n, \\ 0 & j = 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } X = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \, H_i. \tag{2.19}$$

Thus if A_k $(k \geq 1)$ is of form $A_k = \sum_{j=0}^n X_k^{(j)}(\lambda) \, \Lambda^j(\lambda)$, $X_k^{(j)} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{k,i}^{(j)} H_i$ $(0 \leq j \leq n)$, then

$$B_k(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(h+1)k} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{k,j}^{(j)}(\lambda) \, \Lambda_j(\lambda), \qquad k \geq 1. \tag{2.20}$$

Denote by $\zeta = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/h)$ a root of unity. The map $\operatorname{ad}_\Lambda$ acts on $\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{ad}_\Lambda$ as follows

$$\operatorname{ad}_\Lambda(X\Lambda^j) = \widetilde{X}\Lambda^{j+1}, \ j = 0, \ldots, n, \ X, \widetilde{X} \in \mathfrak{h}, \tag{2.21}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x}_1 \\ \tilde{x}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{x}_n \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \ldots & & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & \ldots & & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 1 & 0 & \ldots & & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & \ldots & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.22}$$

Define $\Omega_\nu = \operatorname{diag}\left(\zeta^{\nu(j-1)}\right)_{j=1\ldots n+1}$, $\nu = 1, \ldots n$, then we have

$$\operatorname{ad}_\Lambda(\Omega_\nu \, \Lambda^j) = (\zeta^\nu - 1) \, \Omega_\nu \, \Lambda^{j+1}, \quad j = 0, \ldots, n. \tag{2.23}$$

A direct computation gives

$$\rho^\vee = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\zeta^\nu}{\zeta^\nu - 1} \Omega_\nu, \quad \operatorname{ad}_{\rho^\vee}\Lambda^0 = 0, \quad \operatorname{ad}_{\rho^\vee}\Lambda^\ell = \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\zeta^\nu - \zeta^{\nu(\ell+1)}}{\zeta^\nu - 1} \Omega_\nu\right)\Lambda^\ell, \ \ell \geq 1; \tag{2.24}$$

$$\operatorname{ad}_\Lambda^{-1}\operatorname{ad}_{\rho^\vee}\Lambda^\ell = \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\zeta^\nu - \zeta^{\nu(\ell+1)}}{(\zeta^\nu - 1)^2} \Omega_\nu\right)\Lambda^{\ell-1}, \quad \ell \geq 1. \tag{2.25}$$

Using these formulæ and consider the leading term of M given by $M_0 = \Lambda_a$, one immediately obtains the formula of the first order approximation (1.20).

3 Essential series and dual essential series

In this section we apply a reduction approach and the technique of Laplace–Borel transform to prove Thm. 1.6, Prop. 1.10 and Thm. 1.13.

First of all, we introduce the lowest weight structure constants.

Definition 3.1. *Fix a choice of $\gamma^1, \ldots, \gamma^n$ and I_+ as above. The coefficients c^{pm}_{qijs} uniquely determined by*

$$\left[\operatorname{ad}^p_{I_+} \gamma^q, \operatorname{ad}^m_{I_+} \gamma^i\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{2m_j} c^{pm}_{qijs} \operatorname{ad}^s_{I_+} \gamma^j. \tag{3.1}$$

are called the lowest weight structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$.

In this paper we will only use part of these structure constants, namely $c^m_{ijs} := c^{0m}_{nijs}$. The above definition (3.1) becomes

$$\left[\gamma^n, \operatorname{ad}^m_{I_+} \gamma^i\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{2m_j} c^m_{ijs} \operatorname{ad}^s_{I_+} \gamma^j. \tag{3.2}$$

Lemma 3.2. *The constants c^m_{ijs} are zero unless*

$$s - m_j = m - m_i - (h - 1). \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. By using the fact that

$$\deg \operatorname{ad}^s_{I_+} \gamma^j = s - m_j, \qquad \forall\, s \in \{0, \ldots, 2m_j\}$$

and by comparing the principal degrees of both sides of (3.2). $\qquad\square$

Lemma 3.3. *If $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ satisfy $m_i + m_j = h - 1$ then $c^{2m_i}_{ij0} = 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $i \leq n - 1$. By Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\left[\gamma^n, \operatorname{ad}^{2m_i}_{I_+} \gamma^i\right] = \sum_{0 \leq m_i + m_j - (h-1) \leq 2m_j} c^{2m_i}_{ij,m_i+m_j-(h-1)} \operatorname{ad}^{m_i+m_j-(h-1)}_{I_+} \gamma^j. \tag{3.4}$$

We will use the following orthogonality conditions [27]

$$\left(\gamma^{i_1} \,\middle|\, \operatorname{ad}^{2m_{i_2}}_{I_+} \gamma^{i_2}\right) = 0, \qquad \text{if } i_1 \neq i_2. \tag{3.5}$$

It follows that

$$\left(\rho^\vee \,\middle|\, \left[\gamma^n, \operatorname{ad}^{2m_i}_{I_+} \gamma^i\right]\right) = \left([\rho^\vee, \gamma^n] \,\middle|\, \operatorname{ad}^{2m_i}_{I_+} \gamma^i\right) = -(h-1)\left(\gamma^n \,\middle|\, \operatorname{ad}^{2m_i}_{I_+} \gamma^i\right) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

On another hand, for $m_i + m_j - (h-1) \geq 2$ we have

$$\left(\rho^\vee \,\middle|\, \operatorname{ad}^{m_i+m_j-(h-1)}_{I_+} \gamma^j\right) = \left(I_+ \,\middle|\, \operatorname{ad}^{m_i+m_j-(h-1)-1}_{I_+} \gamma^j\right) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

13

For $m_i + m_j - (h-1) = 1$ we have

$$\left(\rho^\vee \mid \mathrm{ad}_{I_+} \gamma^j\right) = (I_+ \mid \gamma^j) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

The last equality is due to $i \neq n$ and so j cannot be 1, and $I_+ = const \cdot \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^2 \gamma^1$. Hence $c_{ij0}^{2m_i} = 0$. The lemma is proved. $\qquad\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let M be any solution of (1.4). It can be uniquely decomposed in the form

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{2m_i} K_{im} \, \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^m \gamma^i \tag{3.9}$$

for some functions $K_{im} = K_{im}(\lambda)$. Substituting this expression into equation (1.4) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{2m_i} K'_{im} \, \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^m \gamma^i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{2m_i} K_{i,m-1} \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^m \gamma^i + \lambda \left[\gamma^n, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{2m_i} K_{im} \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^m \gamma^i \right] = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Here $'$ means the derivative w.r.t. λ. Substituting (3.2) into (3.10) we find

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{2m_j} (K'_{js} + K_{j,s-1}) \, \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^s \gamma^j + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{2m_j} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m=0}^{2m_i} K_{im} \, c_{ijs} \, \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^s \gamma^j = 0 \tag{3.11}$$

where $K_{j,-1} := 0$. Now using Lemma (3.2) we find

$$K_{j,s-1} = -K'_{js} - \lambda \sum_{m_i \geq s - m_j + (h-1)} c_{ijs}^{s+m_i-m_j+(h-1)} K_{i,s+m_i-m_j+(h-1)} \tag{3.12}$$

where $0 \leq s \leq 2m_j$. Due to the inequality $m_i - m_j + h - 1 \geq m_i > 0$ the system (3.12) is of triangular form. So we can solve it for the vector $(K_{j,s-1})_{j=1}^{n}$ as long as the vectors $(K_{js'})_{j=1}^{n}$, $s' \geq s+1$ are known. Note that, by definition $S_j = K_{j,2m_j}$. Thus K_{im}, $m = 0, \ldots, 2m_i - 1$ are determined as linear combinations of $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ and their derivatives. Further noticing that

$$s + m_i - m_j + (h-1) \geq s + m_i, \quad \forall i, j \tag{3.13}$$

we obtain the more subtle expressions (1.26). Indeed, it is easy to see that

$$K_{im} = (-1)^m S_i^{(2m_i - m)}, \qquad m_i \leq m \leq 2m_i - 1. \tag{3.14}$$

This observation together with (3.13), (3.12) yields (1.26). Note that the coefficients k_{imuv}^0 and k_{imuv}^1 in (1.26) are uniquely specified by the lowest weight structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Taking $s = 0$ in Eqs. (3.12) we obtain a system of linear ODEs (1.27) of the form

$$S_i^{(2m_i+1)} = \sum_{u=1}^{n} \sum_{v=0}^{2m_i} k_{iuv}^0 S_u^{(v)} + \lambda \sum_{u=1}^{n} \sum_{v=0}^{m_i} k_{iuv}^1 S_u^{(v)}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \tag{3.15}$$

where the constant coefficients k^0_{iuv} and k^1_{iuv} are determined by the lowest weight structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$. It is now clear that there is a one-to-one correspondence between solutions of the topological ODE (1.4) and solutions of the system (3.15). Thus these two systems of ODEs are equivalent.

It remains to show that $k^1_{iu0} = 0$, $\forall\, i, u \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. The $(s = 0)$-equations in (3.12) read as follows

$$K'_{j0} + \lambda \sum_{m_i + m_j \geq h-1} c^{m_i - m_j + (h-1)}_{ij0} K_{i, m_i - m_j + (h-1)} = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Taking the λ-derivative in the $(m = 0,\, i = j)$-equations of (1.26) we obtain

$$K'_{j0} = S^{(2m_j+1)}_j + \sum_{u=1}^{n} \sum_{v=0}^{2m_j-1} k^0_{j0uv} S^{(v+1)}_u + \sum_{u=1}^{n} \sum_{v=0}^{m_j-1} k^1_{j0uv} S^{(v)}_u$$

$$+ \lambda \sum_{u=1}^{n} \sum_{v=0}^{m_j-1} k^1_{j0uv} S^{(v+1)}_u. \tag{3.17}$$

From equations $(3.17), (3.16), (3.15)$ we know that K'_{j0} does not contribute to k^1_{iu0}. The only possible terms that can contribute to k^1_{iu0} are

$$\sum_{m_i + m_j \geq h-1} c^{m_i - m_j + (h-1)}_{ij0} K_{i, m_i - m_j + (h-1)} \tag{3.18}$$

Noticing again the inequalities $m_i - m_j + (h-1) \geq m_i$ as well as (3.14), we find that (3.18) do not contribute to k^1_{iu0} unless

$$m_i - m_j + (h-1) = 2m_i. \tag{3.19}$$

However, by Lemma 3.3 we know that $c^{2m_i}_{ij0} = 0$ if $m_i + m_j = h - 1$. Hence $k^1_{iu0} \equiv 0$.

The theorem is proved. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad\square$

Equations (1.27) can be written in the following form (which will be used later)

$$\sum_{v=0}^{2h-1} p^0_v S^{(v)} + \lambda \sum_{v=1}^{h} p^1_v S^{(v)} = 0, \qquad S = (S_1, \ldots, S_n)^T \tag{3.20}$$

where p^0_v and p^1_v are constant $n \times n$ matrices, $(p^0_v)_{iu} = k^0_{iuv}$, $(p^1_v)_{iu} = k^1_{iuv}$. These matrices satisfy $(p^0_{2m_i+1})_{i,\,2m_i+1} = -1$ and the following vanishing conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (p^0_v)_{iu} &= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad v > 2m_i + 1, \\ (p^1_v)_{iu} &= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad v > m_i. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Before proving Prop. 1.10 and Thm. 1.13 we do some preparations. Recall that the essential series $S_{a;i}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ are defined as the S-coefficients of the generalized Airy resolvents M_a.

Lemma 3.4. *For any $a \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, the essential series $S_{a;i}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ have the form*

$$S_{a;i} = \lambda^{-\frac{s_{ai}}{h}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{i,m}^a \lambda^{-m(h+1)}, \quad c_{i,m}^a \in \mathbb{C} \tag{3.22}$$

where s_{ai} is a positive integer satisfying

$$s_{ai} \equiv m_a \mod h, \qquad s_{ai} \equiv m_i \mod (h+1). \tag{3.23}$$

In particular, the essential series $S_{a;a}$ is non-zero and has the form

$$S_{a;a} = \lambda^{-\frac{m_a}{h}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m^a \lambda^{-m(h+1)}, \quad c_0^a \neq 0, \ c_m^a \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.24}$$

Proof. Fix an integer $a \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. By (1.17) we know that $S_{a;i} \in \lambda^{-\frac{m_a}{h}} \cdot \mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $\mathbb{C}((\lambda^{-1}))$ denotes the set of formal Laurent series at $\lambda = \infty$. If $S_{a;i}$ is zero then it has the form (3.22) with $c_{i,m}^a = 0$, $m \geq 0$. Let $S_{a;i}$ be non-zero. Recall the expansion (1.17)

$$M_a(\lambda) = \lambda^{-\frac{m_a}{h}} \left[\Lambda_{m_a} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_{a,k}(\lambda) \right],$$
$$M_{a,k}(\lambda) \in L(\mathfrak{g}), \quad \deg M_{a,k}(\lambda) = m_a - (h+1)k, \quad a = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Let $S_{a;i,k}(\lambda)$ denote the S-coefficients of $M_{a,k}(\lambda)$, we have

$$S_{a;i} = \lambda^{-\frac{m_a}{h}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_{a;i,k}(\lambda). \tag{3.25}$$

Noticing that $\deg \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^{2m_i} \gamma^i = m_i$ we obtain

$$\deg S_{a;i,k}(\lambda) = m_a - m_i - (h+1)k, \quad k \geq 0. \tag{3.26}$$

Since $\deg \lambda = h$ we conclude that

$$m_a - m_i - (h+1)k \equiv 0 \pmod{h}. \tag{3.27}$$

Hence $S_{a;i,k} = 0$ unless there exists an integer u such that $k = m_a - m_i + u\,h$, and in this case

$$S_{a;i,k} = const \cdot \lambda^{-(m_a - m_i) - u(h+1)}, \tag{3.28}$$

which yields (3.23). Here, note that $k \geq 0$ implies $u \geq 0$, hence $s_{ai} > 0$.

To prove (3.24) it suffices to show that $c_0^a \neq 0$. It has been proved by Kostant that the elements Λ_{m_a}, $a = 1, \ldots, n$ have the following decompositions[2] (cf. p. 1014 in [27])

$$\Lambda_{m_a} = \lambda\,\beta + v\,\mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^{2m_a} \gamma^a, \quad \deg \beta = -(h - m_a) \tag{3.29}$$

where v are some non-zero constant and $\beta \in \mathfrak{g}$. Here, we have also applied the facts

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{ad}_{I_+} = \mathrm{Span}\{\mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^{2m_1} \gamma^1, \ldots, \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^{2m_n} \gamma^n\}, \qquad \deg \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^{2m_i} \gamma^i = m_i. \tag{3.30}$$

The lemma is proved. □

---

[2]We would like to thank Yassir Dinar for bringing this useful result of [27] to our attention.

Let us now prove Prop. 1.10.

Proof. The statement 1) follows from (3.22). The statement 2) follows from (1.26) because M_a are linearly independent. The statement 3) is contained in Lemma 3.4. Let M be a regular solution to (1.4) such that the S_a-coefficient of M coincides with $S_{a;a}$. If the exponents of $\mathfrak{g}$ are pairwise distinct then M must be equal to M_a since $S_{a;a}$ is non-zero. Slight modifications can be done for D_n with n even as described in the content of the proposition. The proposition is proved. $\qquad\square$

For any $a \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, Thm. 1.6 reduces M_a consisting of $n(h+1)$ scalar series to n scalar series $S_{a;j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Prop. 1.10 further reduces $\{S_{a;j}\}_{j=1}^n$ to one scalar series $S_{a;a}$.

Finally let us consider the Laplace–Borel transform and prove Thm. 1.13.

Proof. Equations (1.38), (1.39) follow directly from equations (1.26), (1.27). So i) is proved.

To show ii), we will construct a basis of formal solutions to (1.39). Indeed, we consider the Laplace–Borel transform of the essential series $S_{a;i}(\lambda)$

$$S_{a;i}(\lambda) = \int_{C_a} \phi_{a;i}(x) \, e^{-\lambda x} dx \tag{3.31}$$

for suitable contours C_a. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\phi_{a;i} = x^{\frac{s_{ai}}{h}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{i,m}^a}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s_{ai}}{h} + m(h+1)\right)} x^{m(h+1)} \tag{3.32}$$

where the coefficients $c_{i,m}^a$ are defined by eq. (3.22). For $i \neq a$, equations (3.23) imply that the integers s_{ai} satisfy

$$s_{ai} \geq m_a + h \text{ and } s_{ai} \geq m_i + h + 1 \tag{3.33}$$

if all exponents are pairwise distinct; for the case $\mathfrak{g}$ is of the $D_{n=2k}$ type, the above estimates are also true after possibly a linear change

$$\phi_{n/2} \mapsto a_1 \phi_{n/2} + a_2 \phi_{n/2+1}, \tag{3.34}$$
$$\phi_{n/2+1} \mapsto b_1 \phi_{n/2} + b_2 \phi_{n/2+1} \tag{3.35}$$

of two solutions $\phi_{n/2}$, $\phi_{n/2+1}$. In any case, for $i = a$ we have

$$\phi_{a;a} = x^{\frac{m_a}{h}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_m^a}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m_a}{h} + m(h+1)\right)} x^{m(h+1)}, \qquad c_0^a \neq 0. \tag{3.36}$$

Hence we have obtained a set of independent formal solutions of of (1.39)

$$\phi_{1;j}, \ldots, \phi_{n;j},$$

and we collect them to a matrix solution Φ by defining $\Phi_{ia} = \phi_{a;i}$, then we have

$$\Phi = (I + O(x)) \begin{pmatrix} x^{\frac{m_1}{h}-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x^{\frac{m_2}{h}-1} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x^{\frac{m_n}{h}-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad x \to 0. \tag{3.37}$$

Note that Equations (1.39) can be written as

$$\sum_{v=0}^{2h-1} (-x)^v \, p_v^0 \, \phi + \sum_{v=1}^{h} (-1)^v \, p_v^1 \left(x^v \, \phi' + v \, x^{v-1} \phi \right) = 0, \quad \phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)^T \tag{3.38}$$

where p_v^0 and p_v^1 are $n \times n$ matrices defined in (3.20). So we have

$$B(x) \, \Phi'(x) = C(x) \, \Phi(x), \tag{3.39}$$

where B, C are $n \times n$ matrices whose entries are polynomials in x with coefficients determined uniquely by the lowest weight structure constants. More explicitly, the expressions of B, C are given by

$$B(x) = \sum_{v=1}^{h} (-1)^v \, x^v \, p_v^1, \qquad C(x) = \sum_{v=0}^{2h-1} (-x)^v \, p_v^0 + \sum_{v=1}^{h} (-1)^v \, v \, x^{v-1} \, p_v^1.$$

We are going to show that the matrix $B(x)$ is of the following form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_1 \cdot x \\ \vdots & \ddots & b_2 \cdot x & * \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_n \cdot x & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.40}$$

where the asterisks denote polynomials in x possessing at least a double zero at $x = 0$. Recall that p_v^1 are defined as the matrix of coefficients of $\lambda \times S_j^{(v)}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$ in the following equations

$$K'_{j0} + \lambda \sum_{m_i+m_j \geq h-1} c_{ij0}^{m_i-m_j+(h-1)} \, (-1)^{m_i+m_j+h-1} S_i^{(m_i+m_j-(h-1))} = 0, \qquad ' = \frac{d}{d\lambda}. \tag{3.41}$$

The summand with $m_i + m_j = h - 1$ in (3.41) does not contribute to the matrix $B(x)$, since we have already proved in Thm. 1.6 that $p_0^1 = 0$. Let us consider the summands in (3.41) with $m_i + m_j \geq h$. Consider first the case $\mathfrak{g} \neq D_n$ with n even where all exponents are distinct. In this case the $(m_i + m_j = h)$-summand of the second term of l.h.s. of (3.41) contributes to the anti-diagonal entries of $B(x)$. For $m_i + m_j = h+1, h+2, \ldots, 2h-2$ the corresponding summands contribute to the entries of $B(x)$ marked with an asterisk. The first term K'_{j0} also contributes to $B(x)$ through the last term of r.h.s. of (3.17), namely,

$$\lambda \sum_{u=1}^{n} \sum_{v=0}^{m_j-1} k_{j0uv}^1 \, S_u^{(v+1)}.$$

18

To understand this term we look at the $(s = 1)$-equations of (3.12):

$$K_{j0} = -K'_{j1} - \lambda \sum_{m_i + m_j \geq h} c_{ij1}^{m_i - m_j + h} (-1)^{m_i + m_j - h} S_i^{(m_i + m_j - h)}. \tag{3.42}$$

The contribution is of the form (3.40). We proceed in a similar way with analyzing $K_{j1}, K_{j2}, \ldots$, in order to arrive at the matrix $B(x)$ in a finite number of steps. In the case of D_n with n even, the above arguments remain valid with suitable choices of $\gamma^{n/2}$, $\gamma^{n/2+1}$.

We have $\det B = \pm b_1 \cdots b_n x^n$. Since the dimension of the space of solutions of (1.4) is finite and since we have already obtained n linearly independent solutions of (3.39), we conclude that each b_i is not zero. Using (3.21) and noting that the $(m_i + m_j = k, \; k \geq h+1)$-off diagonal entries of $B(x)$ (which are the terms marked with asterisk) have at least a $(k - (h - 1))$-tuple zero, we conclude that the equations

$$\phi' = B^{-1} C \phi, \qquad \phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n)^T \tag{3.43}$$

have the precise normal form (1.40), where the expression V_{-1} is due to (3.37).

The part ii) of Theorem 1.13 is proved.

Finally, iii) follows from the normal form (1.40) automatically. The theorem is proved. $\square$

The series $\phi_{a;i}$ in the above proof are called the *dual essential series* of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The final remark is about uniqueness of essential series. We fix a principal nilpotent element I_+. Then, for a given choice of the basis $\{\gamma^i\}_{i=1}^n$ and of $\{\Lambda_{m_j}\}_{j=1}^n$, the series $\{S_{i;j}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ are determined uniquely. With a particular choice of only $\{\Lambda_{m_j}\}_{j=1}^n$, the element γ^j for any $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and hence each of the series $\{S_{i;j}\}_{i=1}^n$ is unique up to a constant factor except for the D_n with even n case. Because of this for presenting the expression of an essential or a dual essential series, we allow a free constant factor. For the exceptional case D_n with n even, $\gamma^{n/2}$, $\gamma^{n/2+1}$ and hence $\{S_{i;n/2}, S_{i;n/2+1}\}_{i=1}^n$ are unique up to invertible linear combinations with constant coefficients.

4   Examples

In this section we study examples of low ranks. We take a faithful matrix realization π of $\mathfrak{g}$, and derive the normal form (1.40) and dual essential series. Denote by χ the unique constant such that

$$(a|b) = \chi \operatorname{Tr}(\pi(a)\pi(b)), \qquad \forall \, a, b \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{4.1}$$

Below, we will often write $\pi(a)$, $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ just as a, for simplicity.

4.1   Simply-laced cases

Example 4.1 (The cases A_1, A_2)**.** *These two cases have already been studied in detail in Introduction. See (1.5)–(1.8), Example 1.9, Examples 1.15, 1.16. Here we will make a short summary.*

The essential series for A_1 has the following explicit expression

$$S = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \frac{(6g-1)!!}{96^g \cdot g!} \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{6g+1}{2}}},$$

which was derived in [4] by an alternative method. The dual fundamental series has the expression

$$\phi = x^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{x^3}{12}}.$$

The essential series for A_2 are given below in equations (5.15)–(5.18). The dual fundamental series are given explicitly via confluent hypergeometric limit functions

$$\phi_{1;1} = x^{-\frac{2}{3}} \cdot {}_0F_1\left(;\frac{1}{3};-\frac{x^8}{1728}\right),$$

$$\phi_{2;2} = x^{-\frac{1}{3}} \cdot {}_0F_1\left(;\frac{2}{3};-\frac{x^8}{1728}\right).$$

They satisfy the following dominant ODEs, respectively:

$$27\,x^2\,\phi_{1;1}'' - 81\,x\,\phi_{1;1}' + \left(x^8 - 84\right)\phi_{1;1} = 0,$$

$$27\,x^2\,\phi_{2;2}'' - 27\,x\,\phi_{2;2}' + \left(x^8 - 21\right)\phi_{2;2} = 0.$$

Recall that the confluent hypergeometric limit function is defined by the convergent series

$$_0F_1(;a;z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

where

$$(a)_k = a(a+1)\dots(a+k-1) \tag{4.2}$$

is the Pochhammer symbol. It is also closely related to the Bessel functions

$$J_\nu(x) = \frac{\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} {}_0F_1\left(;\nu+1;-\frac{z^2}{4}\right).$$

Example 4.2 (The A_3 case). *In this case, $\mathfrak{g} = sl_4(\mathbb{C})$, $h = 4$. The normal form of the dual topological ODE reads as follows*

$$\phi' = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} \frac{\phi}{x} + \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{16}\,x^4 & 0 & -\frac{15}{8}\,x + \frac{1}{8}\,x^6 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{4}\,x^4 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{8}\,x^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi.$$

The three dominant ODEs read as follows

$$64\left(x^5 - 15\right)x^2\,\phi_{1;1}'' - 4\left(125 - 3x^5\right)x^6\,\phi_{1;1}' - \left(x^{15} - 9x^{10} + 1134x^5 - 1260\right)\phi_{1;1} = 0,$$

$$4\,x\,\phi_{2;2}' + \left(x^5 + 2\right)\phi_{2;2} = 0,$$

$$64\,x^2\,\phi_{3;3}'' - \left(64\,x - 12\,x^6\right)\phi_{3;3}' - \left(x^{10} - 18\,x^5 + 36\right)\phi_{3;3} = 0.$$

The dual fundamental series are given explicitly by

$$\phi_{1;1} = x^{-\frac{3}{4}} e^{-\frac{3x^5}{160}} \left[{}_0F_1\left(;\frac{1}{4};\frac{x^{10}}{4096}\right) - \frac{3x^5}{80} \, {}_0F_1\left(;\frac{5}{4};\frac{x^{10}}{4096}\right)\right],$$

$$\phi_{2;2} = x^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{x^5}{20}},$$

$$\phi_{3;3} = x^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{3x^5}{160}} \cdot {}_0F_1\left(;\frac{3}{4};\frac{x^{10}}{4096}\right).$$

Example 4.3 (The A_4 case). *In this case $h = 5$ and $\mathfrak{g} = sl_5(\mathbb{C})$. The normal form of the dual topological ODE of A_4-type reads as follows*

$$\phi' = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{4}{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{5} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{5} \end{pmatrix} \frac{\phi}{x} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{66}{25}x^6 & -\frac{792}{5}x & \frac{1}{10}x^8 \\ \frac{11}{210}x^4 & 0 & -\frac{12}{5}x^6 & -\frac{11}{12}x \\ 0 & \frac{7}{300}x^4 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{2}{35}x^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi. \tag{4.3}$$

Let $\theta = x\,\partial_x$; then the dominant ODE for $\phi_{4;4}$ reads

$$\left[3125\left(x^{12}+155\right)\theta^4 - 12500\left(7x^{12}+620\right)\theta^3 + 625\left(x^{24}+1277x^{12}+54870\right)\theta^2\right.$$
$$\left. -1250\left(x^{24}+321x^{12}+31124\right)\theta + \left(x^{36}-1495x^{24}+510995x^{12}-9215525\right)\right]\phi_{4;4} = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Noting that $x = 0$ is a regular singularity of this ODE and that the indicial equation at $x = 0$ reads

$$\left(k+\frac{1}{5}\right)\left(k-\frac{11}{5}\right)\left(k-\frac{23}{5}\right)\left(k-\frac{47}{5}\right) = 0, \tag{4.5}$$

one then recognises that the dual fundamental series $\phi_{4;4}$ is the (unique up to a constant factor) solution corresponding to the root $k = -\frac{1}{5}$,

$$\phi_{4;4} = x^{-1/5}\left(1 - \frac{161}{2^{10}\cdot 3^5}x^{12} + \frac{26\,605\,753}{2^{23}\cdot 3^{12}\cdot 5^2}x^{24} - \cdots\right).$$

In a similar way one can treat the other three dominant ODEs.

For any solution $\phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_4)^T$ of the normal form (4.3), ϕ_4 satisfies (4.4), and the series $\phi_{a;4}$, $a = 1, \ldots, 4$ are all non-zero. Hence, similarly to the proof of Prop. 1.10, for A_4, one can use **one** *dominant ODE to determine all solutions of (4.3). In particular, the interesting numbers $s_{a;4}$, $a = 1, \ldots, 4$ (see eq. (3.22) above) can be read off immediately from (4.5):*

$$s_{1;4} = 16, \quad s_{2;4} = 52, \quad s_{3;4} = 28, \quad s_{4;4} = 4. \tag{4.6}$$

We expect that this phenomenon always occurs when h is a prime number.

Example 4.4 (The case D_4). *We have $n = 4$, $h = 6$ and $m_1 = 1$, $m_2 = 3$, $m_3 = 3'$, $m_4 = 5$ and*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{B \in \mathrm{Mat}(8, \mathbb{C}) \mid B + S\eta B^T \eta S = 0\}, \tag{4.7}$$

where $\eta_{ij} = \delta_{i+j,9}$, $1 \le i, j \le 8$ and $S = \mathrm{diag}\,(1, -1, 1, -1, -1, 1, -1, 1)$. In this case, $\chi = \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$
\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{\lambda}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & \frac{\lambda}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\tag{4.8}
$$

The matrices Λ_1, Λ_3, $\Lambda_{3'}$, Λ_5 can be chosen as follows

$$
\Lambda_1 = \Lambda, \quad \Lambda_5 = 2\,\Lambda^5, \quad \Lambda_3 = \Lambda^3, \quad \Lambda_{3'} = 2 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\tag{4.9}
$$

The normal form of the dual topological ODEs of the D_4-type reads as follows

$$
\phi' = \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{5}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{6}
\end{pmatrix} \frac{\phi}{x} + \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{26}{27} x^6 & 0 & 0 & \frac{91}{9} x^3 + \frac{1}{6} x^{10} \\
0 & x^6 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & x^6 & 0 \\
\frac{2}{9} x^2 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \phi.
\tag{4.10}
$$

The dual fundamental series are given by

$$
\phi_{1;1} = x^{-\frac{5}{6}} \exp\left(\frac{13\,x^7}{189}\right) \cdot \left[\, {}_0F_1\left(; \frac{1}{6}; \frac{x^{14}}{36}\right) + \frac{13}{63} x^7 \, {}_0F_1\left(; \frac{7}{6}; \frac{x^{14}}{36}\right)\right],
$$

$$
\phi_{2;2} = \phi_{3;3} = x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{x^7}{7}\right),
$$

$$
\phi_{4;4} = x^{-\frac{1}{6}} \exp\left(\frac{13\,x^7}{189}\right) \cdot {}_0F_1\left(; \frac{5}{6}; \frac{x^{14}}{36}\right).
$$

They are solutions to the following dominant ODEs, respectively:

$$
108\,(3x^7 + 182)\,x^2\,\phi_{1;1}'' - 4\,(78\,x^{14} + 5461\,x^7 + 9828)\,x\,\phi_{1;1}'
$$
$$
- \,(12\,x^{21} + 260\,x^{14} + 107029\,x^7 + 62790)\,\phi_{1;1} = 0,
$$

$$
\phi_{2;2}' = -\frac{\phi_{2;2}}{2\,x} + x^6\,\phi_{2;2}, \qquad \phi_{3;3}' = -\frac{\phi_{3;3}}{2\,x} + x^6\,\phi_{3;3},
$$

$$
108\,x^2\,\phi_{4;4}'' - \left(104\,x^8 + 108\,x\right)\phi_{4;4}' - \left(4\,x^{14} + 260\,x^7 + 39\right)\phi_{4;4} = 0.
$$

4.2 Non-simply-laced cases

Example 4.5 (The case B_2). *We use the realization given in [10]:* $\mathfrak{g} = \{B \in \mathrm{Mat}(5,\mathbb{C}) \mid B + S\eta B\eta S = 0\}, \chi = \frac{1}{2}$ *where* $\eta_{ij} = \delta_{i+j,6}$ $(1 \le i, j \le 5)$, $S = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, 1)$. *We have*

$$
\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{\lambda}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & \frac{\lambda}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Recall that in this case $h = 4$, $m_1 = 1$, $m_2 = 3$, *and we can choose* Λ_{m_i} *as follows*

$$
\Lambda_1 = \Lambda, \quad \Lambda_3 = 2\,\Lambda^3. \tag{4.11}
$$

The normal form of the dual topological ODE is given by

$$
\phi' = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} \frac{\phi}{x} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} x^4 & \frac{15}{16} x + \frac{1}{4} x^6 \\ x^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi. \tag{4.12}
$$

Solving this ODE system we obtain the dual fundamental series

$$
\phi_{1;1} = x^{-\frac{3}{4}} e^{\frac{3x^5}{40}} \left[{}_0F_1\left(;\frac{1}{4};\frac{x^{10}}{2^8}\right) + \frac{3x^5}{20} {}_0F_1\left(;\frac{5}{4};\frac{x^{10}}{2^8}\right) \right],
$$

$$
\phi_{2;2} = x^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{\frac{3x^5}{40}} {}_0F_1\left(;\frac{3}{4};\frac{x^{10}}{2^8}\right).
$$

They are solutions to the following dominant ODEs

$$
\left(64\,x^5 + 240\right) x^2\, \phi_{1;1}'' - \left(48\,x^5 + 500\right) x^6\, \phi_{1;1}' - \left(16\,x^{15} + 36\,x^{10} + 1134\,x^5 + 315\right) \phi_{1;1} = 0,
$$

$$
16\,x^2\, \phi_{2;2}'' - 4\left(3\,x^5 + 4\right) x\, \phi_{2;2}' - \left(4\,x^{10} + 18\,x^5 + 9\right) \phi_{2;2} = 0.
$$

Example 4.6 (The B_3 case). *We have* $h = 6$, $\mathfrak{g} = \{B \in \mathrm{Mat}(7,\mathbb{C}) \mid B + S\eta B\eta S = 0\}$, $\chi = \frac{1}{2}$ *where* $\eta_{ij} = \delta_{i+j,8}$, $1 \le i, j \le 7$ *and* $S = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1)$. *The cyclic element is*

$$
\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{\lambda}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & \frac{\lambda}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

We choose Λ_{m_i} *as follows* $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda$, $\Lambda_5 = 2\,\Lambda^5$, $\Lambda_3 = \sqrt{2}\,\Lambda^3$. *The normal form of the dual topological ODEs read*

$$
\phi' = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{5}{6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix} \frac{\phi}{x} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{26}{27} x^6 & 0 & \frac{91}{9} x^3 + \frac{1}{6} x^{10} \\ 0 & x^6 & 0 \\ \frac{2}{9} x^2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi,
$$

23

which is obviously equivalent to the normal form of D_4 restricted to $\phi_3 \equiv 0$. Hence

$$\phi_{1;1} = x^{-\frac{5}{6}} \exp\left(\frac{13\,x^7}{189}\right) \cdot \left[{}_0F_1\left(;\frac{1}{6};\frac{x^{14}}{3^6}\right) + \frac{13}{63} x^7 \, {}_0F_1\left(;\frac{7}{6};\frac{x^{14}}{3^6}\right) \right],$$

$$\phi_{2;2} = x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{x^7}{7}\right),$$

$$\phi_{3;3} = x^{-\frac{1}{6}} \exp\left(\frac{13\,x^7}{189}\right) \cdot {}_0F_1\left(;\frac{5}{6};\frac{x^{14}}{3^6}\right).$$

Example 4.7 (The G_2 case)**.** *We have $h = 6$, $m_1 = 1$, $m_2 = 5$. Using the matrix realization given in [2], we obtain the normal form of the dual topological ODE of G_2-type*

$$\phi' = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{5}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{6} \end{pmatrix} \frac{\phi}{x} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{13}{54} x^6 & \frac{1820}{9} x^3 + \frac{5}{6} x^{10} \\ \frac{1}{360} x^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \phi. \tag{4.13}$$

The dual fundamental series have the following explicit expressions

$$\phi_{1;1} = x^{-\frac{5}{6}} e^{\frac{13x^7}{756}} \left[{}_0F_1\left(;\frac{1}{6};\frac{x^{14}}{2^4 \cdot 3^6}\right) + \frac{13x^7}{252} {}_0F_1\left(;\frac{7}{6};\frac{x^{14}}{2^4 \cdot 3^6}\right) \right],$$

$$\phi_{2;2} = x^{-\frac{1}{6}} e^{\frac{13\,x^7}{756}} \cdot {}_0F_1\left(;\frac{5}{6};\frac{x^{14}}{2^4 \cdot 3^6}\right).$$

We remark that the normal form of G_2-type coincides with the normal form of B_3 restricted to $\phi_2 \equiv 0$ after a rescaling of Λ by $\Lambda \mapsto 2^{-\frac{1}{3}}\Lambda$.

Let us summarise in Table 2 the numbers m_a, r_a for the above examples.

$\mathfrak{g}$	h	m_a	r_a
A_1	2	1	1
A_2	3	$1, 2$	$2, 2$
A_3	4	$1, 2, 3$	$2, 1, 2$
A_4	5	$1, 2, 3, 4$	$4, 4, 4, 4$
D_4	6	$1, 3, 3, 5$	$2, 1, 1, 2$
B_2	4	$1, 3$	$2, 2$
B_3	6	$1, 3, 5$	$2, 1, 2$
G_2	6	$1, 5$	$2, 2$

Table 2: Simple Lie algebras and intrinsic numbers.

5 Concluding remarks

5.1 On the analytic properties of solutions to the topological ODEs

We have proved the dimension of the space of solutions regular at $\lambda = \infty$ of the topological equation of $\mathfrak{g}$-type

$$[L, M] = 0, \qquad L = \partial_\lambda + \Lambda \tag{5.1}$$

is equal to the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$. We have also proved Thm. 1.6 on reduction of this equation through the lowest weight gauge.

One can also consider the vector space of all solutions to the topological ODE; denote it by $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{g})$. As $\lambda = 0$ is a regular point for the system (1.4), every solution $M(\lambda) \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ is uniquely determined by the initial data $M(0)$. Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{g}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g} = n(h+1)$.

Denote M^{ak} the unique analytic solution of (1.4) determined by the following initial data

$$M^{ak}(0) = \mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^k \gamma^a, \qquad a = 1, \ldots, n, \; k = 0, \ldots, 2m_a. \tag{5.2}$$

Clearly $M^{ak}(\lambda)$ form a basis of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{g})$. With a particular choice of basis $\{M_1, \ldots, M_n\}^3$ of $\mathfrak{S}_\infty^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})$, there exist unique *partial connection numbers* C_{abk} such that

$$M_a = \sum_{b=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{2m_b} C_{abk} \, M^{bk} \tag{5.3}$$

where C_{abk} are constants. We will study these connection numbers for the topological ODEs as well as the monodromy data for the dual topological ODEs in a subsequent publication.

5.2 Application of topological ODEs to computation of intersection numbers on the moduli spaces of r-spin structures

First, it should be noted that all main results of the previous sections remain valid after a change of normalization of the topological equation. It will be convenient to introduce a normalization factor κ in the following way

$$[L, M] = 0, \qquad L = \partial_\lambda + \kappa \, \Lambda. \tag{5.4}$$

This parameter will be useful for applications of solutions to (5.4) to computation of certain topological invariants of Deligne–Mumford moduli spaces. In particular, here we explain two applications of the topological ODEs (5.4). The proofs follow the scheme used in [4] for computing the coefficients of Taylor expansion of the logarithm of the Witten–Kontsevich tau-function of the KdV hierarchy. Details of the proofs can be found in an upcoming publication [5].

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N}$ denote the Deligne–Mumford moduli space of stable curves C of genus g with N marked points $x_1, \ldots, x_N$, $\mathcal{L}_k$ the kth-tautological line bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N}$, $\psi_k = c_1(\mathcal{L}_k) \in H^2(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N})$, $k = 1, \ldots, N$.

Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. Fix a set of integers $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^N \subset \{0, \ldots, r-1\}$ such that $2g-2-\sum_{k=1}^N a_k$ is divisible by r. Then the degree of the line bundle $K_C - \sum_{i=1}^N a_i x_i$ is divisible by r. Here K_C is the canonical class of the curve C. Hence there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{T}$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}^{\otimes r} \simeq K_C - \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i x_i. \tag{5.5}$$

---

[3]Such a choice depends on a choice of an appropriate sector in the complex plane near $\lambda = \infty$.

Such a line bundle is not unique; there are r^{2g} choices of $\mathcal{T}$. A choice of such a line bundle $\mathcal{T}$ is called an r-*spin structure* on the curve $(C, x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N}$. The space of all r-spin structures admits a natural compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g;a_1,\ldots,a_N}^{1/r}$ along with a forgetful map

$$p : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g;a_1,\ldots,a_N}^{1/r} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N}.$$

There is a natural complex vector bundle $\mathcal{V} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g;a_1,\ldots,a_N}^{1/r}$ such that the fiber over the generic point $(C, x_1, \ldots, x_N, \mathcal{T}) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g;a_1,\ldots,a_N}^{1/r}$ coincides with $H^1(C, \mathcal{T})$. Here the genericity assumption means that $H^0(C, \mathcal{T}) = 0$. Under this assumption, using the Riemann–Roch theorem one concludes that $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{V} = s + g - 1$ where

$$s = \frac{a_1 + \ldots + a_N - (2g - 2)}{r}.$$

The construction of the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ over non-generic points in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g;a_1,\ldots,a_N}^{1/r}$ is more subtle; for details see [16] and references therein. The *Witten class* $c_W(a_1, \ldots, a_N) \in H^{2(s+g-1)}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N}\right)$ is defined via the push-forward of the Euler class of the dual vector bundle $\mathcal{V}^\vee$

$$c_W(a_1, \ldots, a_N) = \frac{1}{r^g} p_*\left(\operatorname{euler}\left(\mathcal{V}^\vee\right)\right). \tag{5.6}$$

See more details on properties of $c_W(a_1, \ldots, a_N)$ in [38, 37, 16, 22, 32].

Witten's r-spin intersection numbers are nonnegative rational numbers defined by

$$\langle \tau_{i_1,k_1} \cdots \tau_{i_N,k_N} \rangle^{r-spin} := \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N}} \psi_1^{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \psi_N^{k_N} \wedge c_W(i_1 - 1, \ldots, i_N - 1). \tag{5.7}$$

Here $i_\ell \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$, $k_\ell \geq 0$, $\ell = 1, \ldots, N$. Note that we have assumed that the genus in l.h.s. of (5.7) is reconstructed by the dimension counting:

$$\frac{i_1 - 1}{r} + \cdots + \frac{i_N - 1}{r} + \frac{r - 2}{r}(g - 1) + k_1 + \cdots + k_N = 3g - 3 + N. \tag{5.8}$$

See [6, 7, 29, 28, 22, 39, 3, 8] for some explicit calculations of Witten's r-spin intersection numbers.

According to Witten's r-spin conjecture [38] the intersection numbers (5.7) are coefficients of Taylor expansion of logarithm of tau-function of a particular solution to the Drinfeld–Sokolov integrable hierarchy of the A_n type, $n = r - 1$. This conjecture was proved by Faber–Shadrin–Zvonkine [16].

We will now use the constructed above generalized Airy resolvents for a simple algorithm for computing the r-spin intersection numbers in all genera.

For a given $N \geq 1$ and a given collection of indices $i_1, \ldots, i_N$ satisfying $1 \leq i_\ell \leq r - 1$ define the following generating function of the N-point Witten's r-spin intersection numbers by

$$F_{i_1,\ldots,i_N}^{r-spin}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N) = \left(-\kappa\sqrt{-r}\right)^N \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_N \geq 0} (-1)^{k_1+\ldots+k_N} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{\left(\frac{i_\ell}{r}\right)_{k_\ell+1}}{(\kappa\lambda_\ell)^{\frac{i_\ell}{r}+k_\ell+1}} \langle \tau_{i_1,k_1} \cdots \tau_{i_N,k_N} \rangle^{r-spin} \tag{5.9}$$

Here $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N$ are indeterminates, $\kappa = \left(\sqrt{-r}\right)^{-r}$. We use the standard notation (4.2) for the Pochhammer symbol.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $n = r - 1$, $\mathfrak{g} = sl_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{i,i+1} + \lambda\, E_{n+1,1}$. Let $M_i = M_i(\lambda)$ be the basis of generalized Airy resolvents of $\mathfrak{g}$-type, uniquely determined by the topological ODE*

$$M' = \kappa\,[M, \Lambda], \qquad \kappa = \left(\sqrt{-r}\right)^{-r} \tag{5.10}$$

normalized by

$$M_i = -\lambda^{-\frac{i}{h}}\,\Lambda_i + \text{lower degree terms w.r.t. } \deg, \qquad \Lambda_i := \Lambda^i. \tag{5.11}$$

Denote $\eta_{ij} = \delta_{i+j,n+1}$. Then the generating functions (5.9) of N-point correlators of r-spin intersection numbers have the following expressions

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}F_i^{r-spin}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(\lambda) = -\kappa\,(M_i)_{1,n+1}(\lambda) - \kappa\,\lambda^{-\frac{r-1}{r}}\,\delta_{i,n}, \tag{5.12}$$

$$F_{i_1,\ldots,i_N}^{r-spin}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_N) = -\frac{1}{N}\sum_{s \in S_N} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left(M_{i_{s_1}}(\lambda_{s_1})\ldots M_{i_{s_N}}(\lambda_{s_N})\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{N}(\lambda_{s_j} - \lambda_{s_{j+1}})}$$

$$-\delta_{N2}\,\eta_{i_1 i_2}\frac{\lambda_1^{-\frac{i_1}{h}}\lambda_2^{-\frac{i_2}{h}}\,(i_1\,\lambda_1 + i_2\,\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}, \qquad N \geq 2. \tag{5.13}$$

Note that up to a constant factor the entry $(M_i)_{1,n+1}$ is nothing but the essential series $S_{i;n}$. Then, from (5.12) we expect that all one-point r-spin intersection numbers can be read off from coefficients of solutions to the nth dominant ODE expanded near the regular singularity $x = 0$. We also remark that alternative closed expressions for one-point r-spin intersection numbers have been obtained in [28] by using the Gelfand–Dickey pseudo-differential operators.

Example 5.2 ($r = 2$). *Witten's 2-spin invariants coincide with intersection numbers of ψ-classes over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N}$ [36, 26, 16]. So Thm. 5.1 in the choice $r = 2$ recovers the result of [4, 40]: for $N \geq 2$,*

$$\sum_{g=0}^{\infty}\sum_{p_1,\ldots,p_N \geq 0}(2p_1+1)!!\cdots(2p_N+1)!!\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N}}\psi_1^{p_1}\cdots\psi_N^{p_N}\,\lambda_1^{-\frac{2p_1+3}{2}}\cdots\lambda_N^{-\frac{2p_N+3}{2}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{N}\sum_{r \in S_N}\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left(M(\lambda_{r_1})\cdots M(\lambda_{r_N})\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{N}(\lambda_{r_j} - \lambda_{r_{j+1}})} - \delta_{N2}\frac{\lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}\lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}, \tag{5.14}$$

where $M = -\dfrac{\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{g=1}^{\infty}\frac{(6g-5)!!}{(96\,\kappa^2)^{g-1}\cdot(g-1)!}\lambda^{-3g+2} & 2\sum_{g=0}^{\infty}\frac{(6g-1)!!}{(96\,\kappa^2)^{g}\cdot g!}\lambda^{-3g} \\[2mm] -2\sum_{g=0}^{\infty}\frac{6g+1}{6g-1}\frac{(6g-1)!!}{(96\,\kappa^2)^{g}\cdot g!}\lambda^{-3g+1} & -\sum_{g=1}^{\infty}\frac{(6g-5)!!}{(96\,\kappa^2)^{g-1}\cdot(g-1)!}\lambda^{-3g+2} \end{pmatrix}$, $\kappa = (-2)^{-1}$.

The formula (5.14) is closely related to a new formula recently proved by Jian Zhou [41] (See Thm. 6.1 therein). For $N = 1$, it follows easily from (5.12) the well-known formula

$$\langle \tau_{3g-2}\rangle_g = \frac{1}{24^g\cdot g!}\quad for \quad g \geq 1.$$

Example 5.3 $(r = 3)$. *Define* $M = \begin{pmatrix} 2K_{11} + K_{22} & -2S_1 - 3K_{23} & 6S_2 \\ 2K_{10} + K_{21} & -2K_{22} & 3K_{23} - 2S_1 \\ K_{20} & 2K_{10} - K_{21} & -2K_{11} + K_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, *where*

$$K_{10} = \frac{S_1''}{\kappa^2} + 3\lambda S_2, \quad K_{11} = -\frac{S_1'}{\kappa},$$

$$K_{20} = \frac{S_2^{(4)}}{\kappa^4} - 2\lambda S_1, \quad K_{21} = -\frac{S_2'''}{\kappa^3}, \quad K_{22} = \frac{S_2''}{\kappa^2}, \quad K_{23} = -\frac{S_2'}{\kappa}.$$

The generalized Airy resolvents $M_1(\lambda)$ *and* $M_2(\lambda)$ *are obtained by replacing the functions* S_1 *and* S_2 *in the above expressions by*

$$S_{1;1} = \frac{1}{2^2 3^3 \kappa^2} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^g \cdot 3^{7g}\, \Gamma(8g + \frac{1}{3})}{24^g \cdot g! \cdot (54\kappa^2)^{3g-1}\, \Gamma(g + \frac{1}{3})} \lambda^{-\frac{24g+1}{3}}, \qquad (5.15)$$

$$S_{1;2} = -\frac{1}{2^3 3^2 \kappa^2} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^g \cdot 3^{7g}\, \Gamma(8g + \frac{10}{3})}{24^g \cdot g! \cdot (54\,\kappa^2)^{3g}\, \Gamma(g + \frac{4}{3})} \lambda^{-\frac{24g+10}{3}} \qquad (5.16)$$

and

$$S_{2;1} = -\frac{3^4}{2^3} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^g \cdot 3^{7g}\, \Gamma(8g + \frac{17}{3})}{24^g \cdot g! \cdot (54\,\kappa^2)^{3g+2}\, \Gamma(g + \frac{5}{3})} \lambda^{-\frac{24g+17}{3}}, \qquad (5.17)$$

$$S_{2;2} = -\frac{1}{6} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^g \cdot 3^{7g}\, \Gamma(8g + \frac{2}{3})}{24^g \cdot g! \cdot (54\,\kappa^2)^{3g} \cdot \Gamma(g + \frac{2}{3})} \lambda^{-\frac{24g+2}{3}}, \qquad (5.18)$$

respectively. Setting $\kappa = \left(\sqrt{-r}\right)^{-r}$ *we easily obtain from Theorem 5.1 that*

$$\langle \tau_{1,8g-7} \rangle_g = \frac{1296}{46656^g \cdot (g-1)! \cdot \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_g} \quad (g \geq 1),$$

$$\langle \tau_{2,8g-2} \rangle_g = \frac{1}{46656^g \cdot g! \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)_g} \quad (g \geq 1),$$

$$F_{i_1,\ldots,i_N}^{3-spin}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_N) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{s \in S_N} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\left(M_{i_{s_1}}(\lambda_{s_1})\ldots M_{i_{s_N}}(\lambda_{s_N})\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{N}(\lambda_{s_j} - \lambda_{s_{j+1}})}$$

$$-\delta_{N2}\, \eta_{i_1 i_2} \frac{\lambda_1^{-\frac{i_1}{h}} \lambda_2^{-\frac{i_2}{h}} (i_1\,\lambda_1 + i_2\,\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}, \quad N \geq 2.$$

The above formulae of one-point 3-spin intersection numbers agree with known formulae in [34, 7, 29, 28]; e.g. the first several of these numbers are given by

$$\langle \tau_{1,1} \rangle_1 = \frac{1}{12}, \quad \langle \tau_{2,6} \rangle_1 = \frac{1}{31104}, \quad \langle \tau_{1,9} \rangle_2 = \frac{1}{746496}, \quad \langle \tau_{2,14} \rangle_2 = \frac{1}{4837294080}.$$

5.3 Topological ODEs, Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies, and FJRW "quantum singularity theory"

According to [17, 30], the partition function of FJRW invariants for an $A\,D\,E$, D_n^T singularity with the maximal diagonal symmetry group is a tau-function of its mirror Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy; the partition function of FJRW invariants for a $(D_{2k}, \langle J \rangle)$ singularity is a particular tau-function of the D_{2k}-Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy; the partition function of non-simply-laced analogue of the FJRW intersection numbers is defined as a tau-function of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies of $B\,C\,F\,G$-type [30].

Definition 5.4. *The Drinfeld–Sokolov partition function Z is a tau-function of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of $\mathfrak{g}$-type [10] uniquely specified by the following string equation:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_{k+1}^i \frac{\partial Z}{\partial t_k^i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \eta_{ij}\, t_0^i t_0^j Z = \frac{\partial Z}{\partial t_0^1}. \tag{5.19}$$

Here, t_k^i are time variables of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy and $\eta_{ij} = \delta_{i+j,n+1}$.

It should be noted that the terminology "the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy of $\mathfrak{g}$-type" that we use refers to the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy (under the choice of a principal nilpotent element) associated to the non-twisted affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^{(1)}$. Since the construction of this integrable hierarchy does not depend on the central extension of the loop algebra, it is essentially associated with the simple Lie algebra.

Define the following generating functions of N-point correlators of Z by

$$F_{i_1,\ldots,i_N}(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_N) = (-\kappa\sqrt{-h})^N \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_N \geq 0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k_1+\ldots+k_N} \prod_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{\left(\frac{m_{i_\ell}}{h}\right)_{k_\ell+1}}{(\kappa\,\lambda_\ell)^{\frac{m_{i_\ell}}{h}+k_\ell+1}} \frac{\partial^N \log Z}{\partial t_{k_1}^{i_1} \ldots \partial t_{k_N}^{i_N}}(0) \tag{5.20}$$

We will now express these generating functions in terms of the generalized Airy resolvents of $\mathfrak{g}$-type. To this end we will need to use the following multilinear forms on the Lie algebra

$$B(a_1,\ldots,a_N) = \mathrm{tr}\,(\mathrm{ad}_{a_1} \circ \ldots \circ \mathrm{ad}_{a_N}), \qquad \forall\, a_1,\ldots,a_N \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{5.21}$$

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra of rank n. Let $M_i = M_i(\lambda)$, $i = 1,\ldots,n$ be the generalized Airy resolvents of $\mathfrak{g}$-type, which are the unique solutions to*

$$M' = \kappa\,[M,\Lambda], \qquad \kappa = \left(\sqrt{-h}\right)^{-h} \tag{5.22}$$

subjected to

$$M_i = -\lambda^{-\frac{m_i}{h}} \Lambda_{m_i} + \text{lower degree terms w.r.t. } \deg. \tag{5.23}$$

Then the generating functions (5.20) for the N-point correlators of the Drinfeld–Sokolov partition function associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ are given by the following expressions

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}F_i}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}(\lambda) = -\frac{\kappa}{2\,h^\vee} B(E_{-\theta}, M_i) - \kappa\,\lambda^{-\frac{h-1}{h}} \delta_{i,n}, \tag{5.24}$$

$$F_{i_1,\dots,i_N}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_N) = -\frac{1}{2N\,h^\vee} \sum_{s \in S_N} \frac{B\left(M_{i_{s_1}}(\lambda_{s_1}),\dots,M_{i_{s_N}}(\lambda_{s_N})\right)}{\prod_{j=1}^{N}(\lambda_{s_j} - \lambda_{s_{j+1}})}$$

$$-\delta_{N2}\,\eta_{i_1 i_2} \frac{\lambda_1^{-\frac{m_{i_1}}{h}} \lambda_2^{-\frac{m_{i_2}}{h}}(m_{i_1}\lambda_1 + m_{i_2}\lambda_2)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}, \quad N \geq 2. \quad (5.25)$$

Here, $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number.

Similarly as before, we expect that all one-point correlators of the Drinfeld–Sokolov partition function can be read off from coefficients of solutions to the n-th dominant ODE expanded at $x = 0$. The proof will be given in [5]. It is interesting to mention that for the *ADE* cases, the correction term appearing in (5.25) for $N = 2$ coincides with the propagators derived in [31] in the vertex algebra approach [1] to FJRW invariants; in these cases, the Drinfeld–Sokolov partition function coincides with the total descendant potential [19, 15, 14] of the corresponding Frobenius manifolds [11, 12]. It would also be interesting to investigate relations between the dual fundamental series and the hypergeometric functions considered in [21].

In subsequent publications we will continue the study of topological ODEs as well as their applications to computation of invariants of the Deligne–Mumford moduli spaces considering generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies [20].

Appendix A Simple Lie algebras and generalized Airy functions

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an arbitrary simple Lie algebra of rank n. We consider in this appendix a $\mathfrak{g}$-generalization of equation (1.10).

Let $E_{-\theta}$ and I_+ be defined as in the Introduction. Let $\Lambda := I_+ + \lambda\, E_{-\theta}$. Throughout this appendix we will fix a matrix realization $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{Mat}\,(m, \mathbb{C})$. Again for any $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ we simply write $\pi(A)$ as A.

Definition A.1. *The system of m linear ODEs*

$$\frac{d\vec{y}}{d\lambda} + \Lambda\,\vec{y} = 0, \qquad \vec{y} = (y_1,\dots,y_m)^T \in \mathbb{C}^m, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \qquad (A.1)$$

is called the generalized Airy system of $\mathfrak{g}$-type.

The dimension of the space of solutions to (A.1) is m. Let $Y : \mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{Mat}\,(m, \mathbb{C})$ be a fundamental solution matrix of (A.1), i.e.

$$Y' + \Lambda Y = 0, \qquad \det(Y) = const \neq 0. \qquad (A.2)$$

Proposition A.2. *Let A be any constant matrix in $\pi(\mathfrak{g})$. The matrix-valued function $M := Y A Y^{-1}$ is a solution of the topological ODE, i.e. we have*

$$M' = [M, \Lambda]. \qquad (A.3)$$

Proof. By straightforward calculations. □

Noting that $\lambda = 0$ is a regular point of the generalized Airy system (A.1), we define a particular fundamental solution matrix Y of (A.1) by using the following initial data

$$Y(0) = I_m \tag{A.4}$$

where I_m denote the $m \times m$ identity matrix. Then we have

Proposition A.3. *Let $\{M^{ak}\}_{a=1,\dots,n,\,k=0,\dots,2m_a}$ denote the basis of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ defined by (5.2). Then*

$$\pi(M^{ak}) = Y\,\pi(\mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^k \gamma^a)\,Y^{-1}. \tag{A.5}$$

Proof. By using Prop. A.2 and by using the standard uniqueness theorem for linear ODEs. □

Corollary A.4. *Let $\{M_a\}_{a=1,\dots,n}$ be a chosen (analytic) basis of $\mathfrak{S}_\infty^{\mathrm{reg}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then we have*

$$\pi(M_a) = \sum_{b=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{2m_b} C_{abk}\,Y\,\pi(\mathrm{ad}_{I_+}^k \gamma^a)\,Y^{-1} \tag{A.6}$$

where C_{abk} are the corresponding partial connection numbers (c.f. (5.3)).

Example A.5 (A_n, $n \geq 1$)**.** *Take $\mathfrak{g} = sl_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. We have $m = n+1$. Any solution $\vec{y} = (y_1 = y, y_2, \dots, y_m)^T$ of (A.1) satisfies that*

$$(-1)^{n+1}\, y^{(n+1)} = \lambda\, y, \tag{A.7}$$
$$y_k = (-1)^{k-1}\, y^{(k-1)}, \quad k = 2, \dots, m. \tag{A.8}$$

Solutions to (A.7) can be represented by a Pearcey-type integral

$$y(\lambda) = \int_C \exp\left(\frac{x^{n+2}}{n+2} - \lambda x\right) dx \tag{A.9}$$

where C is a suitable contour on the complex x-plane.

Example A.6 (D_n, $n \geq 4$)**.** *Take the matrix realization of $\mathfrak{g}$ as in [10]. We have $m = 2n$. The cyclic element Λ takes the form*

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & & & \\ & & & \ddots & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & & \\ & & & & \ddots & 1 & & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \\ \frac{\lambda}{2} & & & & & & & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda}{2} & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

31

For any solution $\vec{y} = (y_1 = y, y_2, \ldots, y_m)^T$ to (A.1) we have

$$y^{(2n-1)} = \lambda\, y' + \frac{1}{2}\, y,, \tag{A.10}$$

$$y_k = (-1)^{k-1}\, y^{(k-1)}, \qquad k = 2, \ldots, n-1, \tag{A.11}$$

$$y_k = (-1)^{k-2}\, y^{(k-2)}, \qquad k = n+2, \ldots, 2n-1, \tag{A.12}$$

$$2\, y_n' = y_{n+1}' = (-1)^{n-1}\, y^{(n)}, \quad y_{2n} = y^{(2n-2)} - \frac{1}{2}\, \lambda\, y, \tag{A.13}$$

Solutions to (A.10) can be represented by a Pearcey-type integral

$$y(\lambda) = \int_C \exp\left(-\frac{x^{4n-2}}{2n-1} - \lambda\, x^2 \right) dx \tag{A.14}$$

where C is a suitable contour in the complex x-plane.

Example A.7 (B_n, $n \geq 2$). *Take the matrix realization of $\mathfrak{g}$ as in [10]. We have $m = 2n+1$, and $\mathfrak{g} = \{B \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{C}) \,|\, B + S\eta B\eta S = 0\}$ where $\eta_{ij} = \delta_{i+j,2n+2}$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq 2n+1)$, $S = \mathrm{diag}\,(1, -1, 1, -1, \ldots, 1, -1)$. We have*

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \frac{\lambda}{2} & 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda}{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then any solution $\vec{y} = (y_1 = y, y_2, \ldots, y_m)^T$ of (A.1) satisfies

$$y^{(2n+1)} = \lambda\, y' + \frac{1}{2}\, y, \tag{A.15}$$

$$y_k = (-1)^{k-1}\, y^{(k-1)}, \quad k = 2, \ldots, m-1, \tag{A.16}$$

$$y_m = (-1)^{m-1}\, y^{(m-1)} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\, y. \tag{A.17}$$

Solutions to (A.15) can be represented by the following Pearcey-type integral

$$y(\lambda) = \int_C \exp\left(-\frac{x^{4n+2}}{2n+1} - \lambda\, x^2 \right) dx \tag{A.18}$$

where C is a suitable contour in the complex x-plane.

Example A.8 (E_6). *We take the matrix realization the same as in [13], where $m = 27$. The matrices I_+ and $E_{-\theta}$ can also be read off from [13]. The corresponding generalized Airy system reduces to two linear ODEs for y_1, y_6. Denote by $u(x)$, $v(x)$ the Laplace–Borel transforms of y_1, y_6 respectively:*

$$y_1(\lambda) = \int_C u(x)\, e^{-\lambda x}\, dx, \qquad y_6(\lambda) = \int_C v(x)\, e^{-\lambda x}\, dx. \tag{A.19}$$

Then u, v satisfy the following system of ODEs

$$\begin{pmatrix} u' \\ v' \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{x} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 5\,x^{12} & -\frac{26}{3}\,x^8 \\ -\frac{26}{9}\,x^{16} & 5\,x^{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.20}$$

It follows that

$$-27\,x^2\,u''(x) + (270\,x^{14} + 189\,x)\,u' + (x^{26} + 675\,x^{13} + 75)\,u = 0, \tag{A.21}$$

$$-27\,x^2\,v''(x) + (270\,x^{14} + 405\,x)\,v' + (x^{26} - 405\,x^{13} + 300)\,v = 0. \tag{A.22}$$

Solving (A.21) we have

$$u = c_1\,x^{-\frac{1}{3}}\,e^{\frac{5\,x^{13}}{13}}\,{}_0F_1\left(;\frac{2}{3};-\frac{x^{26}}{27}\right) + c_2\,x^{\frac{25}{3}}\,e^{\frac{5\,x^{13}}{13}}\,{}_0F_1\left(;\frac{4}{3};-\frac{x^{26}}{27}\right) \tag{A.23}$$

where c_1, c_2 are arbitrary constants.

Applying the saddle point technique to the above integral representations one can derive asymptotic expansions for the generalized Airy functions. In principle, Proposition A.2 can be used for computing the asymptotic expansions of solutions to the topological ODE. However, the methods explained in the main part of the present paper seem to be more efficient for obtaining such expansions for regular solutions.

Remark A.9. *For the original construction [26] of the Witten–Kontsevich tau-function it was introduced a matrix analogue of the Airy integral. More recently in [18] the Kontsevich's matrix Airy function was generalized for an arbitrary compact Lie group. For $\mathfrak{g} = sl_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ the generalized matrix Airy function appears in [9] in representation of the tau-function of the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchy associated with the r-spin intersection numbers on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,N}$, $n = r - 1$ (see above). It is not clear whether the generalized matrix Airy functions of [18] for other simple Lie algebras can be used for computing the FJRW intersection numbers.*

References

[1] Bakalov, B., Milanov, T. (2013). $\mathcal{W}$-constraints for the total descendant potential of a simple singularity. Compositio Mathematica, **149** (05): 840–888.

[2] Balog, J., Fehér, L., O'Raifeartaigh, L., Forgacs, P., Wipf, A. (1990). Toda theory and W-algebra from a gauged WZNW point of view. Annals of Physics, **203** (1): 76–136.

[3] Balogh, F., Yang, D., Zhou, J. Explicit formula for Witten's r-spin partition function. *to appear.*

[4] Bertola, M., Dubrovin, B., Yang, D. (2015). Correlation functions of the KdV hierarchy and applications to intersection numbers over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. arXiv preprint arXiv: 1504.06452.

[5] Bertola, M., Dubrovin, B., Yang, D. Simple Lie algebras, Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies, and multipoint correlation functions, *to appear.*

[6] Brézin, E., Hikami, S. (2007). Intersection numbers of Riemann surfaces from Gaussian matrix models. Journal of High Energy Physics, **2007** (10): 096.

[7] Brézin, E., Hikami, S. (2009). Computing topological invariants with one and two-matrix models. Journal of High Energy Physics, **2009** (04): 110.

[8] Ding, X.-M., Li, Y., Meng L. (2015). From r-Spin Intersection Numbers to Hodge Integrals. arXiv preprint arXiv:1507.04093.

[9] Dijkgraaf, R. (1992) Intersection theory, integrable hierarchies and topological field theory. In: New Symmetry Principles in Quantum Field Theory, NATO ASI Series **295**: 95-158.

[10] Drinfeld, V. G., Sokolov, V. V. (1985). Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg–de Vries type, J. Math. Sci. **30** (2): 1975–2036. Translated from Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Seriya Sovremennye Problemy Matematiki (Noveishie Dostizheniya), **24** (1984): 81–180.

[11] Dubrovin, B. (1996). Geometry of 2D topological field theories. In "Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups", Editors: Francaviglia, M., Greco, S. Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **1620**: 120–348.

[12] Dubrovin, B. (1999). Painlevé transcendents in two-dimensional topological field theory. In The Painlevé property (pp. 287–412). Springer New York.

[13] Dubrovin, B., Liu, S.-Q., Zhang, Y. (2008). Frobenius manifolds and central invariants for the Drinfeld–Sokolov biHamiltonian structures. Advances in Mathematics, **219** (3): 780–837.

[14] Dubrovin, B., Zhang, Y. (1998). Bihamiltonian hierarchies in 2D topological field theory at one-loop approximation. Communications in mathematical physics, **198** (2): 311–361.

[15] Dubrovin, B., Zhang, Y. (2001). Normal forms of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov–Witten invariants. arXiv preprint arXiv: math/0108160.

[16] Faber, C., Shadrin, S., Zvonkine, D. (2010). Tautological relations and the r-spin Witten conjecture. In Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole normale suprieure (Vol. 43, No. 4, pp. 621-658). Elsevier.

[17] Fan, H., Jarvis, T., Ruan, Y. (2013). The Witten equation, mirror symmetry, and quantum singularity theory. Annals of Mathematics, **178** (1): 1–106.

[18] Fernandez, R.N., Varadarajan, V.S. (2009). Airy functions for compact Lie groups. Internat. J. Math. **20**: 945-977.

[19] Givental, A. (2001). Gromov–Witten invariants and quantization of quadratic Hamiltonians. Mosc. Math. J, **1** (4): 551–568.

[20] De Groot, M. F., Hollowood, T. J., Miramontes, J. L. (1992). Generalized Drinfel'd-Sokolov hierarchies. Communications in Mathematical Physics, **145** (1): 57–84.

[21] Heckman, G. J., Opdam, E. M. (1987). Root systems and hypergeometric functions. I. Compositio Mathematica, **64** (3): 329–352.

[22] Jarvis, T. J., Kimura, T., Vaintrob, A. (2005). Spin Gromov–Witten invariants. Communications in mathematical physics, **259** (3): 511–543.

[23] Kac, V. G. (1978). Infinite-dimensional algebras, Dedekind's η-function, classical Möbius function and the very strange formula. Advances in Mathematics, **30** (2): 85–136.

[24] Kac, V. G. (1994). Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras (Vol. 44). Cambridge university press.

[25] Kac, V. G., Wakimoto, M. (1989). Exceptional hierarchies of soliton equations. In Proceedings of symposia in pure mathematics (Vol. 49, p. 191).

[26] Kontsevich, M. (1992). Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function. Comm. Math. Phys., **147** (1): 1–23.

[27] Kostant, B. (1959). The Principal Three-Dimensional Subgroup and the Betti Numbers of a Complex Simple Lie Group. American Journal of Mathematics: 973–1032.

[28] Liu, K., Vakil, R., Xu, H. (2011). Formal pseudodifferential operators and Witten's r-spin numbers. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal).

[29] Liu, K., Xu, H. (2010). Descendent integrals and tautological rings of moduli spaces of curves. Geometry and Analysis Vol. 2, Adv. Lect. Math. **18**: 137–172.

[30] Liu, S.-Q., Ruan, Y., Zhang, Y. (2014). BCFG Drinfeld–Sokolov Hierarchies and FJRW-Theory. Inventiones Mathematicae. doi: 10.1007/s00222-014-0559-3.

[31] Liu, S.-Q., Yang, D., Zhang, Y. (2013). Uniqueness Theorem of $\mathcal{W}$-Constraints for Simple Singularities. Letters in Mathematical Physics, **103** (12): 1329–1345.

[32] Polishchuk, A., Vaintrob, A. (2001). Algebraic construction of Witten's top Chern class. In: E. Previato (Ed.), Advances in Algebraic Geometry Motivated by Physics, AMS Special Session on Enumerative Geometry in Physics. Contemporary Mathematics **276**, p. 229-250.

[33] Saito, K. (1993). On a linear structure of the quotient variety by a finite reflexion group. Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, **29** (4): 535–579.

[34] Shadrin, S. V. (2003). Geometry of meromorphic functions and intersections onmoduli spaces of curves. International Mathematics Research Notices, **2003** (38): 2051–2094.

[35] Wasow, W. (1965) Asymptotic expansions for ordinary differential equations, Interscience Publishers, A Division of John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York - London - Sydney.

[36] Witten, E. (1991). Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space. Surveys in Diff. Geom., **1**: 243–310.

[37] Witten, E. (1992) The N matrix model and gauged WZW models. Nucl. Phys. **B371**: 191–245.

[38] Witten, E. (1993). Algebraic geometry associated with matrix models of two-dimensional gravity (pp. 235–269). Topological methods in modern mathematics (Stony Brook, NY, 1991), Publish or Perish, Houston, TX.

[39] Zhou, J. (2013). Solution of W-Constraints for R-Spin Intersection Numbers. arXiv: 1305.6991.

[40] Zhou, J. (2015). On absolute N-point function associated with Gelfand–Dickey polynomials. preprint.

[41] Zhou, J. (2015). Emergent geometry and mirror symmetry of a point. arXiv preprint arXiv: 1507.01679.

Marco Bertola

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University, 1455 de Maisonneuve W., Montréal, Québec, H3G 1M8, Canada

SISSA, via Bonomea 265, Trieste 34136, Italy

Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, C. P. 6128, succ. centre ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7, Canada

marco.bertola@concordia.ca, mbertola@sissa.it, bertola@crm.umontreal.ca

Boris Dubrovin

SISSA, via Bonomea 265, Trieste 34136, Italy

dubrovin@sissa.it

Di Yang

SISSA, via Bonomea 265, Trieste 34136, Italy

dyang@sissa.it