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## 1. FOREWORD

The Gender Equality Plan (GEP) fits into the policies of the European Commission for the promotion of gender equality in research and innovation. The GEP is a programmatic and strategic document on gender equality that research institutions and universities are invited to set up to formally acknowledge gender equality and intersectionality as key objectives and fundamental values shared by European educational institutions, indicating specific actions to implement them.

The European Commission's action is part of the EU Gender Equality Strategy 2020-2025, setting out strategic objectives and actions to move towards a gender-equal Europe. The key objectives are ending gender-based violence, challenging gender stereotypes, closing gender gaps in the labour market, achieving equal participation of men and women across different sectors of the economy, addressing the gender pay and pension gaps, and achieve gender balance in decision-making and in politics. The strategy pursues a dual approach of gender mainstreaming combined with targeted actions, and its implementation is based on the cross-cutting principle of intersectionality. While focusing on actions within the European Union, the strategy is also consistent with the EU's external policy on equal opportunities and women's empowerment.
The European Commission has introduced some new provisions to promote gender equality in European organisations, in line with the goals of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. The development of a Gender Equality Plan (GEP) is one of the main actions required from all research institutions and universities to gain access to funding within the Horizon Europe research programme.

SISSA's GEP is a policy document defining the School's strategy to detect gender bias, introduce innovative actions to correct it and reduce gender asymmetries. To that end, it identifies the School's objectives and progress monitoring processes by means of specific indicators.

The GEP is in step with SISSA's Positive Action Plan (PAP), a document that outlines actions aimed at removing any obstacle preventing the full realisation of equality in employment and working conditions between men and women, and stronger safeguards against all forms of discrimination.

Specific actions for gender equality have been outlined in the GEP, seeking to give continuity to policies already undertaken by the School, consistently with the Positive Action Plan.
The document has a three-year time frame (2022-2024) and is in line with the Strategic Plan, which acknowledges action for gender equality as one of the School's key strategic pillars.
The GEP has been drawn up bearing in mind the context and specific features of SISSA, a scientific centre of excellence at national and international level, and its contents have been shared with the School's Guarantee Committee for equal opportunities, enhancement of workers' well-being and against discrimination (CUG, Comitato Unico di Garanzia).
The Academic Senate and the Board of Directors of SISSA approved the Gender Equality Plan in the joint session of 14 December 2021. The GEP was issued by decree No. 945/2021 dated 22 December 2021.

## 2. CONTEXT ANALYSIS

SISSA is a higher education and research institute with a special legal status. It was founded in 1978, with the aim of promoting advanced scientific research and higher education through courses awarding a PhD (Philosophiae Doctor) degree. Teaching and research activities are organised into three scientific areas (Physics, Mathematics and Neuroscience), each having its own financial budget and relying upon administrative and technical personnel belonging either to the general administration (administrative staff) or to the Area itself (laboratory technical staff).
For cross-cutting activities, SISSA relies upon its Interdisciplinary Laboratory for Natural Sciences and Humanities (ILAS).

Below is an analysis of the context, carried out for the purpose of defining the objectives described in the following sections. The tables show the figures for the year 2020 as a base line for the actions described in the GEP, and include all the School's key actors: teaching and research staff, administrative and technical staff, research fellows and students.

### 2.1 Teaching and research staff, administrative and technical staff, research fellows

The table below shows the composition of the School's personnel, i.e. administrative and technical staff, technologists, external contractors, teaching and research staff and research fellows currently working at SISSA (Table 1). The School has a total of 325 staff members, 123 of whom are women (38\%) and 202 are men (62\%).
However, the administrative and technical sector and the scientific areas show a different gender distribution. While $64 \%$ of the administrative and technical staff are women, and the male component is $36 \%$, an analysis of the scientific personnel shows the opposite trend, with the female component down to $12 \%$ of the total, against an $88 \%$ of male professors and researchers. This gap reflects a well-known problem in STEM, which points to the need to rebalance the presence of women in this category with actions aimed at reducing gender asymmetry.

An analysis of the length of service in the same role for both men and women with respect to career advancement shows a moderate gap for administrative and technical staff as the two parameters increase. The percentage of women decreases from $71 \%$ for category C staff to $60 \%$ for category D, and eventually drops down to $50 \%$ for category EP (High Expertise).

Table 1. Breakdown of staff by gender and age in each recruitment category

|  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff member | Staff category | < 30 | 31 to 40 | 41 to 50 | 51 to 60 | > 60 | Total | $<30$ | 31 to 40 | 41 to 50 | 51 to 60 | $>60$ | Total |
| Non-executive staff | RESEARCHERS | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Non-executive staff | RESEARCHERS -fixed-term contract, letter A | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Non-executive staff | RESEARCHERS -fixed-term contract, letter B | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-executive staff | PROFESSORS <br> (Associate) | 0 | 8 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Non-executive staff | PROFESSORS (Full) | 0 | 2 | 16 | 13 | 9 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Total (professors and researchers) |  | 1 | 17 | 31 | 18 | 13 | 80 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 11 |
| General executive staff |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-executive staff | CATEGORY C | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 35 |
| Non-executive staff | CATEGORY D | 0 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 7 | 2 | 32 |
| Non-executive staff | CATEGORY EP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Non-executive staff | FIXED-TERM TECHNOLOGISTS | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Non-executive staff | CATEGORY C -FIXED-TERM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Non-executive staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATEGORY D - } \\ & \text { FIXED-TERM } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (administrative and technical staff) |  | 1 | 5 | 20 | 14 | 3 | 43 | 2 | 17 | 39 | 15 | 4 | 77 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RESEARCH } \\ & \text { FELLOWS } \end{aligned}$ | 29 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 79 | 9 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| Total (research fellows) |  | 29 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 79 | 9 | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| TOTAL |  | 31 | 71 | 51 | 32 | 17 | 202 | 11 | 42 | 44 | 21 | 5 | 123 |
| Total percentage (whole staff) |  | 9.5 | 21.8 | 15.7 | 9.9 | 5.2 | 62\% | 3.3 | 12.9 | 13.5 | 6.4 | 1.5 | 38\% |

Table 2 - Permanent employees and executive staff on Dec. 31st

|  | Full time |  | Part time < $50 \%$ |  | Part time> 50\% |  | Total employees Dec. 31st |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment status | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| Full-time Full Professor | 38 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 5 |
| Full-time Associate Professor | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1 |
| Part-time Associate Professor | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Full-time Researcher | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 |
| Secretary General | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Economic category: EP3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Economic category: EP | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Total EP staff | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Economic category: D5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Economic category: D4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Economic category: D3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| Economic category: D2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| Economic category: D1 | 12 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 15 |
| CATEGORY D - Total | 19 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 32 |
| Economic category: C6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Economic category: C5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Economic category: C4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Economic category: C3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Economic category: C2 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| Economic category: C1 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 23 |
| CATEGORY C - Total | 14 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 34 |
| TOTAL | 105 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 106 | 77 |

Table 3 - Staff gender and seniority level

|  | 0-5 |  | 6-10 |  | 11-15 |  | 16-20 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 21- \\ & 25 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 26-30 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31- \\ & 35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 36- \\ & 40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 41- \\ & 43 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\geq 44$ |  | TOTAL STAFF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment status | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W |  |
| Full-time Full Professor | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| Full-time Associate Professor | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Part-time Associate Professor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Full-time Researcher | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Secretary General | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Economic category: EP3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Economic category: EP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| EP STAFF - Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Economic category: D5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Economic category: D4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Economic category: D3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Economic category: D2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Economic category: D1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| CATEGORY D - Total | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| Economic category: C6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Economic category: C5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Economic category: C4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Economic category: C3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Economic category: C2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Economic category: C1 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| CATEGORY C - Total | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| TOTAL | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline 1 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 8 | 1 3 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 183 |

No statistically significant differences were detected in terms of seniority for non-executive administrative and technical personnel (PTA) (Table 3).

Table 4 - Staff gender and recruitment methods

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Direct call recruitment (Law 66/89, protected category) |  |  |  | Transfer from another administration - same sector |  |  |  |  |  | Staff hired pursuant to Art. 20, Leg. Dec. 75/2017 |  | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | w | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W |  |
| Full-time Full Professor | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Part-time Associate Professor | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Economic category: D1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Economic category: C1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| TOTAL | 6 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |

An analysis of the educational qualifications of non-executive staff (Table 5) shows that academic qualifications (i.e. Bachelor's degree, Master's degree, and PhD) are more common among female workers by a significant percentage ( $70 \%$ ).

Table 5 - Non-executive staff by category and academic qualifications

|  |  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff category | Academic qualification | Absolute values | \% ${ }^{(1)}$ | Absolute values | $\%^{(2)}$ | Absolute values |  |
| CATEGORY C | High-school diploma | 12 | 52.17 | 11 | 47.83 | 23 | 19.33 |
| CATEGORY C | PhD | 1 | 33.33 | 2 | 66.67 | 3 | 2.52 |
| CATEGORY C | Below high-school diploma | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.84 |
| CATEGORY C | BSc University degree | 1 | 14.29 | 6 | 85.71 | 7 | 5.88 |
| CATEGORY C | MSc University degree | 1 | 4.76 | 20 | 95.24 | 21 | 17.65 |
| CATEGORY C | First-level Master's degree | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 0.84 |
| CATEGORY D | High-school diploma | 4 | 33.33 | 8 | 66.67 | 12 | 10.08 |
| CATEGORY D | PhD | 1 | 33.33 | 2 | 66.67 | 3 | 2.52 |
| CATEGORY D | BSc University degree | 2 | 50.00 | 2 | 50.00 | 4 | 3.36 |
| CATEGORY D | MSc University degree | 14 | 41.18 | 20 | 58.82 | 34 | 28.57 |
| CATEGORY EP | PhD | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.84 |
| CATEGORY EP | BSc University degree | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 0.84 |
| CATEGORY EP | MSc University degree | 2 | 50.00 | 2 | 50.00 | 4 | 3.36 |
| FIXED-TERM TECHNOLOGISTS | PhD | 1 | 50.00 | 1 | 50.00 | 2 | 1.68 |
| FIXED-TERM TECHNOLOGISTS | MSc University degree | 1 | 50.00 | 1 | 50.00 | 2 | 1.68 |
| TOTAL STAFF |  | 42 |  | 77 |  | 119 |  |
| TOTAL STAFF HOLDING A UNIVERSITY DEGREE (BSC, MSC, PHD) |  | 24 | 30 | 56 | 70 | 80 | 67.22 |

(1) (2) The percentage refers to the total of each line (i.e. each category)
${ }^{(3)}$ The percentage refers to the total number of workers (i.e. 119)

## Work-life balance

The School's administrative and technical staff can take advantage of the following forms of work/life balance: agile working, teleworking, horizontal and vertical part-time working, hourly and daily leaves pursuant to Law 104/1992, hourly and daily parental leaves.

Part-time working (Table 6) is used by about 8\% of the administrative and technical staff, and 90\% of them are women.
Teleworking is used by about $4 \%$ of the administrative and technical staff, and $60 \%$ of them are women (Table 7). For the purposes of comparison, it should be noted that the percentage of women among administrative and technical staff is around $64 \%$. Therefore, teleworking is equally used by men and women, while part-time working is used especially by women. At present, there is limited use of both formulas, as in previous years.
Due to the Covid-19 health emergency, a sharp increase has been registered in the use of agile working. Smart working was introduced in March 2020 as the ordinary working mode. At certain times during lockdown it even became mandatory, and then it was turned into an option for staff members during the rest of year. Given the circumstances, in the most acute phases of the emergency almost $100 \%$ of the School's staff worked in smart-working mode. As regards hourly and
daily leaves under Law 104/1992 and hourly and daily parental leaves, the figures for administrative and technical staff show that these options are mainly used by female workers (Table 8). On average, each staff member took about 5 hours of daily leave (parental or otherwise) and about 10 hours of hourly leave (parental or otherwise).
It should be pointed out that research staff also resorts to agile working to combine family and work needs, but the inherent flexibility of study and research activities makes it difficult to quantify the actual use of this option.

Table 6 - Hourly flexibility, teleworking, agile and part-time working. Breakdown of administrative and technical staff by gender, age and mode of attendance

|  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL | $\%$ of total administrative and technical staff (119) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mode of attendance |  | 31 to 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { from } \\ & 51 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 60 \end{aligned}\right.$ |  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \%{ }^{(1)} \end{aligned}$ | \% by gender |  | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | 41 to 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 51 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | 60 | Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \%{ }^{(1)} \end{aligned}$ | \% by gender <br> (3) |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Part-Time } \\ & >50 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10.00 | 2.33 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 90.00 | 11.69 | 10 | 8 |
| Full-time | 1 | 5 | 19 | 14 | 3 | 42 | 38.18 | 97.67 | 2 | 16 | 34 | 13 | 3 | 68 | 61.82 | 88.31 | 110 | 92 |

${ }^{(1)}$ The percentage refers to the total of men and women for each line, by mode of attendance.
${ }^{(2)}$ The percentage by mode of attendance refers to the total of men.
${ }^{(3)}$ The percentage by mode of attendance refers to the total of women.

Table 7 - Use of work/life reconciliation practices by administrative and technical staff - breakdown by gender and age

|  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \% of total administrative and technical staff (119) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of reconciliation practice |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 31 \\ \text { to } \\ 40 \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|l\|} 1 & 51 \\ 0 & \text { to } \\ 0 & 60 \end{array}$ |  |  | Total | $\begin{array}{\|} \text { Total } \\ \%{ }^{(1)} \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \% \text { by } \\ & \text { gender } \\ & (2) \end{aligned}\right.$ | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { from } \\ & 31 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \hline \text { from } \\ & 41 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 50 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | from 51 to 60 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \%{ }^{(1)} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \% \text { by } \\ & \text { gender } \\ & \text { (3) } \end{aligned}\right.$ | TOTAL |  |
| Staff resorting to teleworking | 0 | 1 |  | 01 |  | 0 | 2 | 40.00 | 4.35 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 3 | 60.00 | 3.37 | 5 | 4 |
| Staff resorting to vertical part-time working, upon request | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | -- | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 100.00 | 2.25 | 2 | 1.68 |
| Staff resorting to horizontal part-time working, upon request | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 12.50 | 2.17 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 7 | 87.50 | 7.87 | 7 | 5.9 |
| Staff resorting to agile working | 1 |  |  | 014 |  | 3 | 43 | 35.83 | 93.48 | 2 | 17 | 39 | 15 | 4 |  | 77 | 64.17 | 86.52 | 119 | 100 |

[^0]Table 8 - Use of parental leaves and leaves pursuant to Law 104/1992, by gender (administrative and technical staff)

|  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of leave | Absolute values |  | Absolute values | $\%^{(1)}$ | Absolute values | $\%^{(2)}$ |
| Number of used daily leaves, pursuant to Law 104/1992 | 0 | 0.00 | 183 | 100.00 | 183 | 10.36 |
| Number of used hourly leaves, pursuant to Law 104/1992 | 0 | 0.00 | 255 | 100.00 | 255 | 14.44 |
| Number of used daily parental leaves | 49 | 11.50 | 377 | 88.50 | 426 | 24.12 |
| Number of used hourly parental leaves | 252 | 27.94 | 650 | 72.06 | 902 | 51.08 |
| Total leaves | 301 | 17.04 | 1465 | 82.96 | 1766 |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ The percentage refers to the total of each line.
${ }^{(2)}$ The percentage refers to the total number of workers (i.e. 1766).

Table 9 - Staff absence by gender

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOLIDA } \\ \text { YS } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { PAID } \\ \text { SICK } \\ \text { LEAVE } \\ \mathrm{S} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | PAID LEAVES PURSUA NT TO ART. 42, §5, LEG. DEC. 151/01 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LAW } \\ \text { 104/92 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | PAIDMATERNITYLEAVES,PARENTALLEAVES,CHILDCARESICKNESSLEAVES |  | OTHER <br> PAID <br> LEAVES <br> AND <br> ABSEN <br> CE |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { COVID- } \\ 19 \\ \text { PARENT } \\ \text { AL } \\ \text { LEAVES } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { STRIK } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | OTHERUNPAID ABSEN CE |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TRAINI } \\ \text { NG } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOT } \\ \text { AL } \\ \text { STAF } \\ \text { F } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment status | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W | M | W |  |
| SECRETARY GENERAL | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Economic category: EP4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Economic category: EP3 | 28 | 0 | 3 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Economic category: EP | 30 | 89 | 6 | 28 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 26 | 203 |
| EP STAFF - Total | 58 | 89 | 10 | 28 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 26 | 238 |
| Economic category: D5 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 4 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| Economic category: D4 | 28 | 77 | 2 | 6 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 187 |
| Economic category: <br> D3 | 93 | 210 | 6 | 9 |  |  | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 373 |
| Economic category: D2 | 78 | 176 | 21 | 35 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 348 |
| Economic category: D1 | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 314 | 11 | 44 |  |  | 0 | 19 | 59 | 8 | 13 | 28 | 21 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 22 | 42 | 1549 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATEGORY D - } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 51 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 823 | 40 | 98 |  |  | 0 | 56 | 59 | 27 | 21 | 69 | 21 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 22 | 42 | 2509 |
| Economic category: C6 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| Economic category: C5 | 35 | 0 | 7 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| Economic category: C4 | 49 | 79 | 0 | 76 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 233 |
| Economic category: C3 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 55 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| Economic category: C2 | 83 | 179 | 39 | 30 |  |  | 0 | 82 | 0 | 3 | 50 | 10 | 35 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 524 |
| Economic category: C1 | $\begin{array}{r} 17 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 509 | 8 | 29 |  |  | 0 | 6 | 0 | 170 | 15 | 40 | 7 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 3 | 19 6 | 1331 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATEGORY C - } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 848 | 54 | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 | 174 | 69 | 60 | 42 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 10 3 | 19 | 2318 |
| Economic category: B3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 35 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| TOTAL | $\begin{array}{r} 93 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 177 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 31 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 20 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 59 | 205 | 94 | 13 9 | 63 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 34 3 | 64 | 5152 |

In addition to the options described above, SISSA has implemented further reconciliation measures, including the in-house day-care service and agreements with youth summer camps.
With the twofold aim of enabling the reconciliation of professional life, study/research commitments and family life, while at the same time offering the children of SISSA staff a place meant for care, socialisation and education, for the development of the child's cognitive, affective and social potential, SISSA provides an in-house day-care service. This service is intended for those who work
or carry out research activities at SISSA, or collaborate with the School (i.e. administrative and technical staff, professors, researchers, research fellows, students, external contractors). The contribution to childcare fees may vary according to the worker's income, calculated on the basis of the ISEE value (the official indicator of a person's economic condition). Due to the health emergency, in 2020 the day-care centre was closed from Feb 24th 2020 until the end of the school year.
With the same aim, every year SISSA stipulates agreements with organisations that run youth summer camps, for the enrolment of its employees' kids aged between 3 and 13 . Within the limits of the financial resources allocated for each organisation, it contributes to the fees with a variable amount, depending on the income of each SISSA worker applying for this service.
In addition to the measures described above, in order to promote policies for reconciling professional working time with the needs of private and family life, SISSA has set up a financial contribution in favour of new mothers holding a research fellowship or attending a PhD course. In 2020 one research fellow benefited from this financial contribution.

## Competition evaluating committees

Another relevant issue for the purposes of this analysis is the gender composition of public competition evaluating committees. The following table shows the figures for the year 2020.

Table 10: Gender composition of public competition evaluating committees

|  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  | President |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Committee | Absolute values | \% ${ }^{(1)}$ | Absolute values | \% ${ }^{(1)}$ | Absolute values | \% ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |
| RESEARCH FELLOWS PHYSICS | 93 | 95.88 | 4 | 4.12 | 97 | 33.68 | Man |
| RESEARCH FELLOWS MATHEMATICS | 44 | 91.67 | 4 | 8.33 | 48 | 16.67 | Man |
| RESEARCH FELLOWS NEUROSCIENCE | 21 | 65.63 | 11 | 34.38 | 32 | 11.11 | Man |
| RESEARCH FELLOWS NEUROSCIENCE | 15 | 46.88 | 17 | 53.13 | 32 | 11.11 | Woman |
| ADMINISTRATIVE AND TECHNICAL STAFF - Cat. C | 2 | 50.00 | 2 | 50.00 | 4 | 1.39 | Man |
| ADMINISTRATIVE AND TECHNICAL STAFF - Cat. B > Cat. C | 1 | 25.00 | 3 | 75.00 | 4 | 1.39 | Woman |
| ADMINISTRATIVE AND TECHNICAL STAFF - Cat. D | 1 | 20.00 | 4 | 80.00 | 5 | 1.74 | Woman |
| ADMINISTRATIVE AND TECHNICAL STAFF - Cat. D | 2 | 40.00 | 3 | 60.00 | 5 | 1.74 | Man |
| FIXED-TERM TECHNOLOGISTS | 1 | 25.00 | 3 | 75.00 | 4 | 1.39 | Man |
| FIXED-TERM TECHNOLOGISTS | 2 | 50.00 | 2 | 50.00 | 4 | 1.39 | Man |
| FIXED-TERM RESEARCHERS NEUROSCIENCE | 1 | 33.33 | 2 | 66.67 | 3 | 1.04 | Woman |
| FIXED-TERM RESEARCHERS PHYSICS | 3 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 1.04 | Man |
| FIXED-TERM RESEARCHERS MATHEMATICS | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 | 3 | 1.04 | Man |
| ASSOCIATE PROFESSORS PHYSICS | 3 | 60.00 | 2 | 40.00 | 5 | 1.74 | Man |
| ASSOCIATE PROFESSORS PHYSICS | 4 | 80.00 | 1 | 20.00 | 5 | 1.74 | Man |
| TEACHING CONTRACTORS | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 | 3 | 1.04 | Man |
| TEACHING CONTRACTORS | 2 | 50.00 | 2 | 50.00 | 4 | 1.39 | Woman |
| COLLABORATIONS | 2 | 66.67 | 1 | 33.33 | 3 | 1.04 | Woman |
| COLLABORATIONS | 14 | 58.33 | 10 | 41.67 | 24 | 8.33 | Man |
| Total staff | 215 |  | 73 |  | 288 |  |  |
| Total percentage (whole staff) | 101.90 |  | 34.60 |  | 136.49 |  |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ The percentage refers to the total of each line (i.e. each type of committee).
${ }^{(2)}$ The percentage refers to the total number of workers.

Following a proposal by CUG, SISSA issued a set of guidelines aimed at ensuring the monitoring of the gender composition of competition committees, requiring that $1 / 3$ of the members be female, unless impossible due to legitimate reasons. For each competition procedure organised by the School (research fellowships/ administrative and technical staff/ faculty/ researchers/ external contractors/ teaching contractors), the HR Office (Ufficio gestione e sviluppo risorse umane) asks for the names of the members of the evaluating committee, pointing out that a female presence of at least one third is required, unless impossible due to legitimate reasons.
Since 2017, in addition to the guidelines concerning the evaluation committees of public competitions, CUG has promoted the adoption of guidelines aimed at encouraging gender balance among speakers at science conventions and public events organised by SISSA. The Academic Senate and the Board of Directors decided that for all activities organised by the School's scientific Areas a minimum percentage of female speakers is required, depending on the female presence in the field concerned. More specifically, for the Physics Area the minimum percentage of female speakers required is $10 \%$, calculated as an annual average for all activities, while for the other Areas the following minimum percentages of female speakers have been set for each activity: $10 \%$ for Mathematics, $30 \%$ for Neuroscience. A minimum percentage of female speakers of $30 \%$ is also required for all non-specialist events, such as the Colloquia, and for public events organised by SISSA.
The figures for the year 2020 are the following:
the School organised 13 institutional seminars, 11 of which were held by men and 2 by women, with 9 people involved, of whom 3 female and 6 male speakers ( $15 \%$ and $85 \%$ respectively).
The Interdisciplinary Laboratory organised 11 events, involving a total of 32 speakers. On the whole, the percentage of female speakers at these events was around $22 \%$. At two events with more than 3 speakers there were no female speakers.
The Physics Area organised 3 activities (including an activity jointly organised by IGAP - Institute for Geometry and Physics - and SISSA), with a total of 51 speakers. The average percentage of female speakers was $27 \%$, with a remarkable increase compared to $12 \%$ in 2019 . Therefore, this figure fully meets the minimum 10\% average requirement.
The Mathematics Area organised one activity, with a total of 21 speakers. The percentage of female speakers was $10 \%$, so the minimum requirement was fulfilled for this Area as well.
No events were organised by the Neuroscience Area.
It should be noted that the number of events organised in 2020 decreased dramatically due to the pandemic.

### 2.2 Student component

The tables below show the figures for the academic year 2020/2021 with regard to the student component. The first table shows the total number of students, whereas the second table provides a breakdown by Area, PhD course and class.

Table 11 - Student component

| Women | Men | TOTAL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 79 | 209 | 288 |
| $27 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Table 12 - Student component by Scientific Area

| Scientific Area | Women | Men | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mathematics | 10 | 63 | 73 |
| Physics | 25 | 85 | 110 |
| Neuroscience | 44 | 61 | 105 |
| Total | 79 | 209 | 288 |


| Total percentage (whole student component) | 27 | 72 | 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 13 - Breakdown of PhD students by gender and class

|  | Women |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PhD Course | 1 | II | III | IV | Total | I | II | III | IV | Total |  |
| Mathematical Analysis, Modelling, and Applications | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 31 | 36 |
| Astrophysics |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Astrophysics and Cosmology | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 5 | 7 | 3 | 3 |  | 13 | 18 |
| Molecular Biology |  |  | 5 | 3 | 8 |  |  | 3 | 2 | 5 | 13 |
| Astroparticle Physics | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 20 |
| Physics and Chemistry of Biological Systems | 3 |  | 1 |  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 14 | 18 |
| Geometry and Mathematical Physics | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 32 | 37 |
| Statistical Physics | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 19 | 25 |
| Theoretical Particle Physics | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 22 | 24 |
| Functional and Structural Genomics | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 4 | 11 |
| Neurobiology | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 21 |
| Cognitive Neuroscience | 6 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 13 |  | 3 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 25 |
| Theory and Numerical Simulation of the Condensed Matter | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 30 | 35 |
| Total | 23 | 15 | 19 | 22 | 79 | 50 | 51 | 60 | 48 | 209 | 288 |
| Total percentage (whole student component) | 7.99 | 5.21 | 6.6 | 7.64 | 27.43 | 17.36 | 17.71 | 20.83 | 16.67 | 72.57 | 100 |

The student component shows a gender gap. The percentage of women at student level averages $27 \%$, with significant variations in the three research areas (Table 11, Table 12 and Table 13).
In 2020, the percentage of female students who passed the PhD entrance exam was proportionally higher ( $16.4 \%$ ) than that of male students (12.4\%) (Table 14). The same applies to the number of students who enrolled in PhD programmes, which, compared to the number of applications, was higher for females ( $11.4 \%$ ) than for males ( $6.91 \%$ ). Therefore, the gender gap in the student component is due to a lower number of female candidates taking the entrance exam.

Table 14 - Breakdown of students taking the entrance exam by gender and exam result

|  | Students taking <br> the exam |  | Students who <br> passed the <br> exam |  | $\%$ | $\%$ | of whom registered <br> to the National <br> Student Register <br> Postgraduate | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| From Italian <br> Universities | 295 | 94 | 69 | 17 | $23 \%$ | $18 \%$ | 40 | 11 | $13.5 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ |
| From foreign <br> Universities | 428 | 107 | 21 | 16 | $5 \%$ | $15 \%$ | 10 | 12 | $2.3 \%$ | $11.21 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 723 | 201 | 90 | 33 | $12.4 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | 50 | 23 | $6.91 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ |

In addition to the low percentage of women at student level, there is also a drop in the female percentage when comparing fixed-term to tenure-track researcher positions (RTDA vs. RTDB). The percentages recorded at student level remain more or less the same for precarious personnel, but a dramatic drop can be observed at permanent staff level and for senior researchers (i.e. RTDB, tenure-track researchers), where the percentage of women falls to around $10 \%$. Using the
percentage found at student level as benchmark, i.e. assuming a female presence of $26 \%$ for permanent staff, a $10 \%$ figure is a statistically remarkable deviation. This drop can be observed at senior researcher (RTDB) and associate professor level, while no further decrease has been registered in top positions. However, it should be noted that, according to the 2020 Gender Balance of the Politecnico di Torino, SISSA ranked first in Italy, together with the IUAV University of Venice, for the "glass-ceiling index" (GCI), which measures the variation in the percentage of women along the career path (\% of women in all academic positions / \% of female full professors). The percentage of women is higher in the Neuroscience Area, while the need for rebalancing is more prominent in the theoretical areas.

## 3. AREAS OF INTERVENTION

The GEP is the result of a shared effort, comprising the following stages:

- analysis of SISSA's context, through a qualitative and quantitative analysis of data regarding staff, scientific personnel and students, broken down by gender;
- acquisition of the support of SISSA's senior management and managing bodies, i.e. Director, Secretary General, Academic Senate and Board of Directors - plus the representatives of all the School's components (faculty, students, and administrative and technical staff);
- identification of critical issues and obstacles to gender equality at all levels of the School's organisation;
- definition of targets and actions aimed at promoting gender equality at all levels of the School's organisation, to be developed and scheduled according to a sustainable timetable;
- allocation of specific resources for the implementation of these actions, both in terms of human and financial resources;
- definition of indicators for an effective monitoring of the progress and implementation of the GEP by target;

SISSA's Gender Equity Plan can be summarised into five worksheets, corresponding to the five thematic areas marked as essential by the European Commission:

- work-life balance and organisational culture;
- gender balance in leadership positions and decision-making bodies;
- gender equality in recruitment and career progression;
- integration of the gender dimension into research and teaching content;
- measures against gender-based violence, including sexual harassment.

The first area, focused on work-life balance, aims at enhancing welfare and well-being measures (e.g. facilitating parenting and/or care activities) and at pursuing a shared culture which promotes equal opportunities, the value of inclusion and the elimination of gender stereotypes.

The second area intends to achieve gender balance in top positions and decision-making bodies, by reducing the gender gap along the career path and encouraging gender equality in the composition of the School bodies.

The third area pursues gender equality in recruitment and career progression, by promoting a reduction of the gender gap in accessing University programmes and encouraging a genderinclusive culture, also at operational level.

The fourth area is aimed at integrating the gender dimension into research and teaching content, and promotes gender awareness within the School's faculty as regards research contents, the elimination of gender bias and the acquisition of different perspectives and approaches depending on the gender of the speakers taking part in scientific events.

The fifth area focuses on measures against gender-based violence, including sexual harassment, promotes an inclusive culture with respect to gender, increased awareness towards the problem of sexual harassment, and more effective measures to prevent staff distress.

Each of the following tables sets out the strategic actions envisaged for the corresponding thematic area. For each action, objectives, indicator, target, competent organisational unit, financial resources, direct target, competent body/person at institutional level and expected outcome are specified.

The GEP is a policy document that aims at ensuring balance, equity and the eradication of all gender discrimination. The plan will be monitored and implemented in a participatory way on an annual basis.

The Gender Equality Plan is a key tool that frames a common goal shared by the whole SISSA community: raising awareness of gender-related prejudices and removing obstacles that, in practice, prevent the full realisation of equality within the School. The GEP, officially approved by the institutional bodies of SISSA, has been published on the website www.sissa.it in English and Italian, and it has been promoted and widely disseminated within the School.
SISSA is an inclusive and constantly evolving community. It enhances human capital and believes that each individual, with his/her own peculiar characteristics and differences, brings a true added value to the whole community.

### 3.1 THEMATIC AREA No. 1 Work-life balance and organisational culture

| THEMATIC AREA <br> 1. Work-life balance and organisational culture |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STRATEGIC ACTION | CODE | OBJECTIVES | INDICATOR | TARGET | COMPETENT UNIT ${ }^{(1)}$ | FINANCIAL RESOURCES |
| 1. Regulation of institutional working hours | 1.1.1 | Ensuring that meetings and seminars are held on standard working days and during working hours | No. of meetings/seminars held beyond standard working hours/days | Answers percentage " $0-2$ " (answer to Good Practice questionnaire) >= 75\% | USS | No |
| 2 - Supporting reconciliation of work and parenthood/ family care | 1.2.2 | Ensuring the continuation of the teleworking/smartworking project and the working-time flexibility project (part-time) | Setup of smart-working guidelines for specific needs | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { by } 31 \text { Dec. } 2022 \end{gathered}$ | UGSRU | No |
|  | 1.2.3 | Welfare actions | Revision of the regulation on welfare actions | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { by } 31 \text { Dec. } 2022 \end{gathered}$ | UGSRU | No |
|  | 1.2.4 | More external agreements providing employee benefits (transport, culture, sport, health insurance, university fees, babysitting during conferences, contributions for elderly care) | No. of activated services | $\geq 2$ by 31 Dec. 2023 | TO BE DEFINED, DEPENDING ON THE SERVICE | 5,000.00 |
|  | 1.2.5 | Presentations by both male and female scientists showing that the priority given to family care is not always and not only a women's issue | No. of presentations by SISSA professors and researchers | $\geq 1$ <br> by 31 Dec. 2022 | FISI <br> MATE NEUR ILAS | No |
|  | 1.2.6 | Agreements with external day-care centres for children below the minimum age for enrolment in SISSA daycare centre. | No. of established agreements | $\geq 1$ by 31 Dec. 2023 | UGSRU | 5,000.00 |
|  | 1.2.7 | Provision of childcare areas (breastfeeding areas, changing tables, etc.). | No. of baby-changing tables | $\geq 1$ by 31 Dec. 2023 | UTL | 1,000.00 |
| 3 - Integration of gender perspective in the organisational culture | 1.3.1 | Organisation of training courses, seminars and workshops on gender issues, self-esteem and unconscious bias, both in Italian and English | No. of courses/events | $\geq 1$ <br> by 31 Dec. 2022 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CUG } \\ & \text { UGSRU } \end{aligned}$ | 2,500.00 |


| CODE | Direct target | Competent body/person at institutional level | Human resources | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.1.1 | Professors and researchers, administrative and technical staff, students and research fellows | Director, Secretary General, Coordinators of the Scientific Areas, Management Committee, Office Managers Committee | 1 month/person per year | Organisational well-being |
| 1.2.2 | Administrative and technical staff, students and research fellows | Director, Secretary General, Coordinators of the Scientific Areas | 2 months/person | Enhanced welfare and well-being measures for better work/life balance |
| 1.2.3 | Professors and researchers, administrative and technical staff, students and research fellows | Director, Secretary General, Human Resources Area | 1 month/person | Updated welfare actions for staff |
| 1.2.4 | Professors and researchers, administrative and technical staff | Director, Secretary General, Coordinators of the Scientific Areas, Management Committee, Office Managers Committee, CUG | 3 months/person per year | Enhanced welfare and well-being measures for better work/life balance |
| 1.2.5 | Professors and researchers, students and research fellows | Director, Secretary General, Coordinators of the Scientific Areas, ILAS Director, Representative for gender issues, CUG, Education, Research and Valorisation Area |  | Promotion of a gender-inclusive culture |
| 1.2.6 | Professors and researchers, administrative and technical staff, students and research fellows | Director, Secretary General, Coordinators of the Scientific Areas, Management Committee, Office Managers Committee, CUG, Human resources Area | 3 months/person per year | Enhanced welfare and well-being measures for better work/life balance |
| 1.2.7 | Professors and researchers, administrative and technical staff, students and research fellows | Director, Secretary General, Representative for gender issues, CUG, Economic and Financial Resources Area | 1 month/person | Well-being at work and work-life balance |


| CODE | Direct target | Competent body/person at institutional level | Human resources |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.3 .1 | Professors and researchers, <br> administrative and technical staff, <br> students and research fellows | Director, Secretary General, Representative for gender issues, <br> Human resources Area, Management Committee, Office <br> Managers Committee | Outcome |  |

(1) List of SISSA organizational units

| Acronym | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| CUG (Comitato Unico di Garanzia) | Guarantee Committee for equal opportunities, enhancement of workers' well-being <br> and against discrimination |
| FISI | Physics Area |
| ILAS | Interdisciplinary Laboratory for Natural Sciences and Humanities |
| MATE | Mathematics Area |
| NEUR | Neuroscience Area |
| SAIOG (Supporto Organi di Governo e Gestione Documentale) | Governing Bodies Support and Document Management Office |
| SEGSCI (Segreterie Scientifiche) | Scientific Secretariat |
| UGSRU (Ufficio Gestione e Sviluppo Risorse Umane) | Human Resources Management and Development Office |
| USS (Ufficio Strategie e Sistemi) | Strategies and Systems Office |
| UTL (Ufficio Tecnico e Logistica) | Technical and Logistics Office |

### 3.2 THEMATIC AREA No. 2 Gender balance in leadership positions and decision-making bodies

| THEMATIC AREA <br> 2. Gender balance in leadership positions and decision-making bodies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STRATEGIC ACTION | CODE | OBJECTIVES | INDICATOR | TARGET | COMPETENT UNIT | FINANCIAL RESOURCES |
| 1. Greater proportion of women in decision-making processes | 2.1.1 | Fair gender composition of public competition evaluating committees | Notifications sent in the event of failure to comply with the requirement that $1 / 3$ of the members of evaluating committees be female | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { by } 2022 \end{aligned}$ | FISI <br> MATE NEUR UGSRU | NO |
| 2. Greater proportion of women in top positions | 2.2.1 | Supporting female candidates for the School's decision-making bodies | Addition of a sentence in official notices regarding School bodies elections, stressing that SISSA encourages applications from female candidates | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { by } 2022 \end{aligned}$ | SAIOG | NO |


| CODE | Direct target | Competent body/person at institutional level | Human resources | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.1.1 | Teaching and research staff, administrative and technical staff, research fellows | Director, Secretary General, Representative for gender issues, CUG, Coordinators of the Scientific Areas, Human Resources Area, Education, Research and Valorisation Area |  | Reduction of the gender gap along career paths |
| 2.2.1 | Teaching and research staff, administrative and technical staff, students, research fellows | Director, Secretary General, Representative for gender issues, CUG, Coordinators of the Scientific Areas |  | Supporting gender equity in the composition of bodies and organisations |

### 3.3 THEMATIC AREA No. 3 Gender equality in recruitment and career progression

| THEMATIC AREA No. 3 Gender equality in recruitment and career progression |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STRATEGIC ACTION | CODE | OBJECTIVES | INDICATOR | TARGET | COMPETENT UNIT | FINANCIAL RESOURCES |
| 1 - Reducing gender asymmetries in academic recruitment | 3.1.1 | Greater recruitment of young women through the Math Junior Days | No. of places reserved for women | Applications percentage $=$ Grants percentage by 31 Dec. 2022 | MATE CUG | No |
| 2 - Reducing the decline in the percentage of women along the career path | 3.2.1 | Parental support for female scientists invited to give lectures and hold seminars | Setup of guidelines for the "parental research fellowship" initiative | by 31 Dec. 2022 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UGSRU } \\ & \text { SEGSCI } \end{aligned}$ | No |
|  | 3.2.2 | Expressions of interest for female researchers (incentive to expressions of interest by female candidates for a faculty position in the Physics Area) | No. of expressions of interest | $\geq 1$ by 31 Dec. 2023 | FISI MATE | No |
| 3 - Reducing gender asymmetries in study courses | 3.3.1 | Encouraging the participation of female lecturers at scientific events organised by the School | \% of women holding courses and seminars | $>20 \%$ <br> by 31 Dec. 2022 | FISI MATE NEUR ILAS | No |


| CODE | Direct target | Competent body/person at institutional level | Human resources | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.1.1 | Students | Director, Secretary General, Coordinator of the Mathematics <br> Area, Representative for gender issues, Education, Research and <br> Valorisation Area | Reducing the gender gap in accessing <br> University programmes |  |
| 3.2 .1 | Invited scientists and <br> scholars | Director, Secretary General, CUG, Representative for gender <br> issues, Human Resources Area, Education, Research and <br> Valorisation Area | 2 months/person | Promoting a gender-inclusive culture |
| 3.2 .2 | Professors and <br> researchers | Director, Secretary General, Coordinators of the Mathematics <br> and Physics Areas, Representative for gender issues, Education, <br> Research and Valorisation Area | Reducing the gender gap along career |  |
| 3.3.1 | Professors, researchers <br> and research fellows | Director, Secretary General, Coordinators of the Scientific Areas, <br> lLAS Director, Representative for gender issues, CUG, Education, <br> Research and Valorisation Area | Promoting a gender-inclusive culture |  |

### 3.4 THEMATIC AREA No. 4 Integration of the gender dimension into research and teaching content

| THEMATIC AREA (Area of intervention) <br> 4. Integration of the gender dimension into research and teaching content |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STRATEGIC ACTION | CODE | OBJECTIVES | INDICATOR | TARGET | COMPETENT UNIT | FINANCIAL RESOURCES |
| 1. Gender balancing at scientific events | 4.1.1 | Organisation of scientific events with speakers presenting strategies and research programmes which reflect their male/female point of view | No. of events | $\geq 1$ per year | FISI MATE NEUR ILAS | 2,000.00 |
| 2 - Promoting the integration of gender variables in the research and teaching process | 4.2.1 | Organisation of seminars to promote awareness of the social and innovation value of the introduction of gender variables in research and teaching | No. of seminars | $\begin{gathered} \geq 1 \\ \text { by } 31 \text { Dec. } 2024 \end{gathered}$ | CUG | 1,000.00 |


| Code | Direct target | Competent body/person at institutional level | Human resources | Outcome <br> 4.1 .1Professors and researchers, students <br> and research fellows |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Director, Secretary General, Coordinators of the Scientific <br> Areas, ILAS Director, Representative for gender issues, CUG, <br> Education, Research and Valorisation Area | Acquision of different perspectives and <br> approaches depending on the gender of the <br> speakers taking part in scientific events |  |  |  |
| 4.2 .1 | Professors and researchers, students <br> and research fellows | Director, Secretary General, Representative for gender issues, <br> Education, Research and Valorisation Area | Greater awareness of gender issues in research <br> content and elimination of gender bias (gender- <br> inclusive organisational culture) |  |

### 3.5 THEMATIC AREA No. 5 Measures against gender-based violence, including sexual harassment

| THEMATIC AREA (Area of intervention) <br> 5. Measures against gender-based violence, including sexual harassment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STRATEGIC ACTION | CODE | OBJECTIVES | INDICATOR | TARGET | COMPETENT UNIT | FINANCIAL RESOURCES |
| 1 - Raising awareness on sexual harassment and violence | 5.1.1 | Training activities to fight gender-based discrimination and violence | No. of initiatives | $\begin{gathered} \geq 1 \\ \text { by } 31 \text { Dec. } 2023 \end{gathered}$ | CUG SAIOG UGSRU | 2,500.00 |


|  | 5.1.2 | Promoting gender culture and raising awareness of the codes of conduct and of initiatives by the School or third parties. Publication of training activities and materials | Update of CUG website (www.sissa.it/cug) | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { by } 31 \text { Dec. } 2022 \end{gathered}$ | CUG | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preventing, detecting and tackling sexual harassment within the School | 5.2.1 | Promoting organisational well-being and preventing distress of SISSA staff | Enhancement of the free psychological counselling service, with two two-year contracts for 6 hours a week each | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { by } 31 \text { Dec. } 2022 \end{gathered}$ | UGSRU | $\begin{gathered} 30.648,00 \text { for } \\ \text { year } 2022 \\ 30.648,00 \text { for } \\ \text { year } 2023 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 5.2.2 | Support group pursuant to Ministerial Directive No. 2/19 | Appointment of the members of the support group | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ \text { by } 31 \text { Dec. } 2022 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | UGSRU SAIOG | No |


| Code | Direct target | Competent body/person at institutional level | Human resources | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.1.1 | Professors and researchers, administrative and technical staff, students and research fellows | Director, Secretary General, Representative for gender issues, Human resources Area |  | Promotion of a gender-inclusive culture and greater awareness of sexual harassment |
| 5.1.2 | Professors and researchers, administrative and technical staff, students and research fellows | Director, Secretary General, Representative for gender issues, Human resources Area |  | Promotion of a gender-inclusive culture |
| 5.2.1 | Professors and researchers, administrative and technical staff, students and research fellows | Director, Secretary General, CUG, Representative for gender issues, Appointed advisor | 1 month/person | Enhanced measures to prevent staff distress |
| 5.2.2 | Professors and researchers, administrative and technical staff, students and research fellows | Director, Secretary General, CUG, Representative for gender issues, Human resources Area | 1 month/person | Enhanced measures for organisational well-being |


[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ The percentage refers to the total of men and women for each line, by mode of attendance.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ The percentage by mode of attendance refers to the total of men.
    ${ }^{(3)}$ The percentage by mode of attendance refers to the total of women.

